RECEIVANTO OPPT (1900)

201-15385

Huntingdon

Working for a better future

Administrator US Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 1473 Merrifield, VA 22116 Attention: HPV Challenge Program 15 June 2004

RE: Submission of Test Plan and Robust Summaries for Resorcinol (CAS Number 108-46-3) (AR 201-11357)(MR28695)

Dear Administrator,

Huntingdon Life Sciences are pleased to submit a High Production Volume (HPV) test plan for Resorcinol on behalf of our clients INDSPEC Chemical Corporation.

Enclosed is a CD-ROM containing the IUCLID data set in two formats (the word version and an exported version) and the test plan. We have not submitted these by e-mail as well at present but are happy to do so on request. Please contact me if this is required.

Please can you address any subsequent questions that you may have about the data directly to:

Barbara Buchner INDSPEC Chemical Corporation 1010 William Pitt Way Pittsburg Pennsylvania, 15238 Telephone: 412-826-3673

e-mail: Barbara Buchner@oxy.com

Sarah Henly (Mrs)
Regulatory Affairs Specialist
Department of Regulatory Affairs
Direct Dial: +44 (0) 1480 892176
e-mail: henlys@ukorg.huntingdon.com

cc: Barbara Buchner

201-15385

High Production Volume (HPV) Challenge Program

Data Analysis and Test Plan for

Resorcinol

CAS Number 108-46-3

INDSPEC Chemical Corporation 1010 William Pitt Way Pittsburgh PA 15238 USA

May 2004

Table of Contents

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
•		
2.	GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION	6
3.	PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES	7
4.	EVALUATION OF EN VIRONMENTAL FATE DAT A	7
4	4.1 Photodegradation	8
4	4.2 Hydrolysis	8
4	4.3 Chemical Transport and Distribution in the Environment	9
4	4.4 Biodegradation and Bioaccumulation	9
5.	ECOTOXICITY DATA	10
6.	MAMMALIAN TOXICITY	13
6	6.1 Acute Toxicity	14
6	6.2 Repeated Dose Toxicity	15
	6.3 Genetic Toxicity/Mutagenicity	
	6.4 Reproductive Toxicity	
	6.5 Developmental Toxicity	
7.	"BEYOND SIDS" ENDPOINTS	16
8.	CONCLUSIONS	16
Q	DEFEDENCES	17

List of Tables

- Table 1. Matrix of Available and Adequate Data for Resorcinol
- Table 2. Physicochemical Data
- Table 3. Environmental Fate Data
- Table 4. Transport between environmental compartments Data
- Table 5. Bioaccumulation
- Table 6. Fish Toxicity Data
- Table 7. Aquatic Invertebrates Toxicity Data
- Table 8. Mammalian Toxicity Data
- Table 9. Summary of non SIDS acute Mammalian Toxicity Data

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INDSPEC is committed to fulfilling the High Production Volume (HPV) commitments it made under the United States Environmental Protection Program on February 14, 2001. As part of this commitment, INDSPEC has volunteered to assess the health and environmental hazards of Resorcinol.

INDSPEC has identified data from various sources, these include company proprietary files, peer-reviewed published literature, specific test reports and/or calculated endpoints using widely accepted computer-modelling programs.

Conclusions about the nature of Resorcinol gained from analysis of all available data are as follows:

HUMAN HEALTH

Resorcinol is classified as harmful if swallowed. Long term exposure gives a NOEL of 250 – 260 mg/kg in rats and mice. When adsorbed through the skin or the G.I. tract nearly all is excreted in urine in 24 hours. Repeated administration over 30 days does not lead to storage or accumulation in tissues.

In vitro mammalian cell assays with Resorcinol demonstrated gene and chromosome mutations. However *in vivo* tests did not show any signs of genotoxicity. Long-term animal studies were without findings to demonstrate that Resorcinol has any carcinogenic effect or developmental effects.

ENVIRONMENT

The lowest no effect concentration of Resorcinol is $172 \, \mu g$ a.i./l (NOEC, full life cycle toxicity test for Daphnia magna). Resorcinol is ready biodegradable and has a very low Pow value of 0.8, and so the potential for bioaccumulation is regarded as low. Fugacity modelling indicates that 99% of Resorcinol will partition to water. A calculated Henry's constant indicates that resorcinol can be described as extensively non-volatile from water. So it can be assumed that the compound remains in water phase when discharged to the environment.

Physicochemical data, environmental fate data, ecotoxicity data and mammalian toxicity data endpoints for Resorcinol are fulfilled by using existing measured data or data calculated by the EPIWIN computer model with the exception of toxicity to fertility data. To address this data gap a study is currently being conducted with results due early 2005. No further testing is proposed for this program.

Table 1. Matrix of Available and Adequate Data for Resorcinol for SIDS endpoints

HPV Data Category	Test Endpoint	Available Data (Klimish Score)	Testing Planned
Physical and Chemical	Melting Point	Y (1)	N
Data	Boiling Point	Y(1)	N
	Vapor Pressure	Y(1)	N
	Partition Coefficient	Y(2)	N
	Water Solubility	Y(1)	N
Environmental Fate and	Photodegradation	Y(2)	N
Pathways	Stability in Water (Hydrolysis)	Y(2)	N
	Transport/Distribution	Y(2)	N
	Biodegradation	Y(2)	N
Ecotoxicity	Acute/Prolonged Toxicity to Fish	Y(2)	N
	Acute/Prolonged Toxicity to Aquatic Invertebrates (Daphnia)	Y(1)	N
	Acute Toxicity to Aquatic Plants (Algae)	Y(2)	N
Toxicity	Acute Toxicity (Oral)	Y(2)	N
	Acute Toxicity (Dermal)	Y(2)	N
	Repeated Dose	Y(1)	N
	Genetic Toxicity in vitro –	Y(1)	N
	Genetic Toxicity – in vivo	Y(1)	N
	Reproductive Toxicity	N	Y
	Developmental Toxicity	Y(2)	N

2. GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION

Resorcinol is manufactured by INDSPEC Chemical Corp. at Petrolia, Pennsylvania. The process is based on the sulfonation of benzene under conditions that promote di-substitution in the meta position, followed by fusion with anhydrous caustic. The product is purified under conditions to yield a technical grade product, typically 99.8% pure.

Resorcinol is a crystalline, aromatic chemical that is water soluble and very conductive to derivitization. Important reactions of resorcinol are: alkylation, acylation, amination, carboxylation, condensation and aldehydes and ketones, coupling with arylamines, etherification, halogenation, nitration and sulfonation.

Resorcinol is the essential component of an adhesive system used in the manufacture of tires for passenger cars, trucks, off-the—road equipment and other fibre reinforced rubber mechanical goods. Polyester, nylon, aramid, rayon and glass tires cords are treated with an aqueous adhesive containing resorcinol, formaldehyde and synthetic rubber latex. In addition to excellent adhesion, the attributes of the water based adhesive system are its ease of preparation, latitude of composition and low level of toxicity. Resorcinol and a methylene donor are also compounded into carcass skim stocks to enhance the adhesion of rubber to RFL treated tire cords. Dry bonding agents based on Resorcinol or resorcinol-formaldehyde resins have found world-wide acceptance as the adhesive system for bonding brass plated steel tire cords in radial tires.

Adhesives formulated from resorcinol-formaldehyde resins or phenol modified resorcinol-formaldehyde resins are the criteria for wood bonding applications demanding room temperature cure, structural integrity, and water proof characteristics. These adhesives retain their strength at temperatures approaching the charring point of wood and are not affected by exposure to the cryogenic temperatures of liquefied natural gas.

Resorcinol is an important chemical intermediate in the manufacture of speciality chemicals, such as light screening agents for the protection of plastics from exposure to ultraviolet light. Other uses include the manufacture of dye-stuffs, pharmaceuticals, flame retardants, agricultural chemicals, fungicidal creams and lotions, explosive primers, antioxidants, a chain extender for urethane elastomers and a treatment to improve mechanical and chemical resistance of paper machine fabrics.

3. PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES

See IUCLID section 2 for more detailed summaries

Table 2. Physicochemical Data

End point	Reference Number	Result
Melting Point	33 ^m	109-111°C
Doiling Doint	33 ^m	280°C
Boiling Point	41 ^m	276.7° C
	41 °	0.011 mm Hg (0.1463 hPa) @ 25°C
Vapor Pressure	3 ^m	0.000203 mm Hg (0.00027 hPa) @ 25°C
Kow Partition	41 °	1.03
Coefficient	3 ^m	0.80
Water Calubility	41 °	8.571E+004 g/l @ 25°C
Water Solubility	32 ^m	1.11E+007 mg/l @20°C

m Measured value

Physicochemical Summary:

The physical chemical data for Resorcinol are summarised in Table 2. These values were experimentally confirmed or obtained from the well-established and scientifically accepted reference handbook the Merck Index (O'Neil, 2001) as well as EPIWIN-calculated values (USEPA and Syracuse Research Corporation, 2000).

SUMMARY:

Adequate data (Klimish code 1 or 2) are available for all endpoints, so no additional testing is proposed for the USEPA HPV Challenge Program (see Table 2 and IUCLID documents)

4. EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL FATE DATA

See IUCLID section 3 for detailed summaries

The data for each SIDS endpoint has either been experimentally confirmed or obtained from the well-established and scientifically accepted reference handbook the Merck Index (O'Neil,

^c Calculated value

2001) as well as EPIWIN-calculated values (USEPA and Syracuse Research Corporation, 2000).

4.1 Photodegradation

Table 3. Environmental Fate Data

Photodegradation						
	Direct Photolysis Indirect photolysis					
Endpoint	Result	Ref	Result	Ref	Result	Ref
OH Rate Constant	-	12 ^m	2E-11 cm ³ / molecule-sec	12°	2.0028E-10 cm ³ / molecule-sec	42°
OH half life	100 hours		1.9 hours		0.64 hours (38 .452 min)	

m Measured value

Direct photolysis value was determined experimentally, and values for photodegradation and atmospheric oxidation were calculated based upon chemical structures using EPIWIN and are shown in Table 3.

These results are regarded as sufficient for USEPA HPV Challenge Program, and no further testing is warranted.

4.2 Hydrolysis

Resorcinol does not possess any functional groups that are regarded as being susceptible to hydrolysis under environmental conditions (Lyman, W.J., Reehl, W.F. and Rosenblatt, D.H., Handbook of Chemical Property Calculation Methods, McGraw-Hill, Inc., Washington, 1990, pages 7-4 and 7-5).

The software prediction programme HYDROWIN v1.66 predicts that resorcinol will be stable to hydrolysis. The model cannot estimate hydrolysis rate constants due to the absence of any hydrolysable groups.

^c Calculated value

4.3 Chemical Transport and Distribution in the Environment

Table 4. Transport between environmental compartments Data

Transport/Distribution	Reference	Results
		Air =0.0%
Even sity I aval 1	27°	Water =99.88%
Fugacity Level 1		Soil=0.06%
		Sediment=0.07%
Estimated Koc	27°	2.94 (soil and sediment)

^c Calculated value

These results demonstrate that resorcinol partitions primarily into water largely due to its high water solubility.

These results are regarded as sufficient for USEPA HPV Challenge Program, and no further testing is warranted.

4.4 Biodegradation and Bioaccumulation

Resorcinol was tested in a ready biodegradation assay and an inherently biodegradation assay. These studies were conducted to the accepted OECD guideline standards and clearly demonstrate that Resorcinol is biodegradable. Both results can therefore be regarded as reliable with out restrictions and fulfil the HPV SIDS endpoints.

Other studies conducted in aquatic media with isolated bacteria and fungal strains or with mixed cultures of activated sludge, digested sludge and soil confirm that resorcinol is biodegradable under aerobic and anaerobic conditions

A calculated value for bioconcentration factor has also been determined as below.

Table 5. Bioaccumulation

Bioaccumulation	Reference	Results
Estimated BCF	42°	3.162

^c Calculated value

These results are regarded as sufficient for USEPA HPV Challenge Program, and no further testing is warranted.

Environmental Fate Summary:

Adequate data (Klimish code 1 or 2) are available for all endpoints, so no additional testing is proposed for the USEPA HPV Challenge Program

5. ECOTOXICITY DATA

See IUCLID section 4 for detailed summaries

Acute and prolonged toxicity to fish

Many studies have been conducted, by various authors, but none to recommended guidelines. However all the studies demonstrate that Resorcinol is toxic to fish in varying degrees (see Table 6 for a summary).

Table 6. Summary of available fish toxicity data

Species	Duration	Effect	Concentration (mg/l)	Test system	Reference
Leuciscus	euciscus 48h	LC50	38.4		23
idus	4011	NOEC	25	Static	
(Golden Orfe)	0.0	LC50 34.7	Static	23	
Offe)	96h	NOEC	25		
Pimephales promelas	96h	LC50	53.4	Static	2
(Fat head minnow)	96h	LC50	26.8-29.5	Flow through	15
Gambusia affinis (mosquito fish)	96h	LC50	179.56-182.47	Static	28
		LOEC (weight)	32		
Brachydanio rerio	7 days	EC50 (malformations)	54.8	Semi-static	45
(Zebra fish)	·	LC50 (embryo lethality)	262		
Salmo gairdneri	60 days	LC50 (embryo lethality)	320	Semi-static	45
(salmon)	00 days	EC50 (malformations)	260	Sciiii-Static	\ \tag{\tau}

With this weight of evidence it is therefore proposed that adequate data (Klimish code 2) are available for this endpoint, so no additional testing is proposed for the USEPA HPV Challenge Program

Acute and chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

A concentration-time dependency was shown by experimental results obtained under static conditions for the grass shrimp (Palaemonetes pugio) which lives in salt water. (Reference No. 2)

Table 7. Chronic and acute toxicity data to Daphnia magna

Test Duration (hours) Result (mg/l)		Reference
24	24 EC50= 107.6	
48	EC50<0.8	7
48	LC50 = 1.28	19
96	96 LC50 = 0.25	
48	EC50>172 μg/l	21
40	NOEC=172 μg/l	31
	EC50>172 μg/l	
21 days	NOEC=172 μg/l	31
	LOEC>172 μg/l	

There are many tests on the acute toxicity to water fleas (Daphnia magna) that have been conducted by various authors but not to any standardised guidelines (reference 7,10,13,19) (see Table 7). The general conclusion is that harmful affects may be dependent on duration of exposure and the trail conditions concerned. As these studies did not give a clear result a chronic toxicity to Daphnia Magna to OECD guidelines was conducted (reference 31). This study demonstrated that concentrations up to 172 μg a.i./l of Resorcinol had no adverse effects on survival, growth or reproduction of Daphnia magna. LOEC was determined to be >172 μg a.i./l. This study clearly demonstrates that Resorcinol is very toxic to aquatic organisms, and so must be classified R50. This study can also be used to provide data for the acute toxicity endpoint as observations were made for mortality and effects on a daily basis for 21 days. After 48 hours EC>172 μg/l and NOEC = 172 μg/l.

These results are regarded as sufficient for USEPA HPV Challenge Program, and no further testing is warranted.

Toxicity to aquatic plants e.g. algae

In a cell-multiplication inhibition test performed on the green alga Chlorella pyrenoidosa, various chemicals were tested at one concentration each. At a concentration of 1.1 mg/l resorcinol, there was no observed cell-multiplication inhibition after 72 hours (reference no. 38).

In an experiment to determine growth inhibition EC50 values of 165.2 mg/l and 143.1 mg/l were determined for common duckweed (Lemna minor, exposure period 12 days) and Canadian pondweed (Elodea canadensis, exposure period 9 days) (reference no. 40).

These results are regarded as sufficient for USEPA HPV Challenge Program, and no further testing is warranted.

Ecotoxicity Summary:

Adequate data (Klimish 1 or 2) are available for all endpoints, so no additional testing is proposed for the USEPA HPV Challenge Program.

6. MAMMALIAN TOXICITY

See IUCLID section 5 for detailed summaries

Many studies have been conducted, by various authors, but not all to recommended guidelines. Results of these studies have been summarised in Table 8.

Table 8. Summary of available Mammalian Toxicity Data

	Dose/result	Remarks	Reference	
	Acute Toxicity			
	LD50=202 mg/kg bw		21	
Acute Oral toxicity	LD50=980 mg/kg bw	Harmful if swallowed	36	
	LD50=301mg/kg	swanowea	26	
Acute Dermal toxicity	Rabbit LD50=3360 mg/kg bw (LD50 24 hour contact, intact and abraded skin)	Not classified	36	
	Repeated Dose			
Gavage rats 14 days	27.5-450 mg/kg bw/day NOEL 450 mg/kg./day	No toxic effects		
Gavage mice 14 days	37.5-600 mg/kg/day NOEL 100 mg/kg/day	Fatalities in 300 and 600 mg/kg group. Dose group 100 mg/kg and below free from findings	1 and 32	
Gavage rats 90 days	32-520 mg/kg/day / NOEL=260 mg/kg	Not classified	1 and 32	
Gavage mice 90 days	28-420 mg/kg/day / NOEL=225 mg/kg	INOT CIASSIFICU	1 and 32	
Inhalation-Rats 14 days	34mg/m ³ 6 hours a day Other species tested were mice and guinea pigs	No evidence of toxic effects to lungs and trachea.	14	

	Dose/result	Remarks	Reference
Genetic Toxicity in vitro –	Bacterial mutation assay (Ames test) Mammalian cell mutation (mouse lymphoma) Cytogenetic Assay (CHO cells) Sister chromatid exchange assay	Negative Positive (without S-9 mix) Positive (with S-9 mix) Positive	1 and 32
	(CHO cells) Unscheduled DNA synthesis (rat hepatocytes)	Negative	35
	Micronucleus assay	Negative	16
Genetic Toxicity – in vivo	SLRL Drosophila test	Negative	32
	Sperm abnormality	Negative	46
Toxicity to fertility	No data available	Study ongoi	ng
	Rat, Day 1-19 of gestation, 2ml/kg		8
	Rat, Day 6-15 of gestation, 40-250 mg/kg		18
Developmental	Rat, Day 6-15 of gestation, 125-500 mg/kg	No evidence of foetotoxic, embryotoxic or	11
Toxicity/ Teratogenicity	Rabbit, Day 6-18 of gestation, 25- 100 mg/kg	teratogenic effects following oral administration in	18
	Rabbit, Day 6-15 of gestation, 40- 250 mg/kg	any test	37
	Hen chick eggs, single dose, 99- 804 mg/chick egg		29

6.1 Acute Toxicity

Three studies have been conducted as summarised in Table 8. Although none were conducted to the recommended guideline, all give comparable results that indicate the substance requires classification that the substance is harmful if swallowed (R22). Therefore it is considered that the data requirements have been met for this SIDS endpoint. It is therefore considered that there is sufficient data to cover this end point.

These results are regarded as sufficient for USEPA HPV Challenge Program, and no further testing is warranted.

6.2 Repeated Dose Toxicity

Toxicity studies were conducted by administering Resorcinol (>99% pure) in water by gavage to groups of F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice for each sex for 14 days and 90 days. These studies form part of NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis studies of Resorcinol and are therefore considered valid without restriction (reference 32). No chemical-related gross or microscopic lesions were observed in either study.

These results are regarded as sufficient for USEPA HPV Challenge Program, and no further testing is warranted.

6.3 Genetic Toxicity/Mutagenicity

Many studies have been conducted over the years (see IUCLID for full details). Genetic toxicology studies that were conducted as part of NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and Carcinogenesis studies of Resorcinol confirmed the results of these studies (see Table 8). The general conclusions were that resorcinol is not a gene mutagen in bacteria or *Drosophila*, but was reported to induce mutation and chromosomal damage in mammalian cells *in vitro*. The *in vivo* mutagencity test data (also conducted for NTP report), however, did not reveal signs of genetoxic effects.

Adequate data (Klimish 1 and 2) are available for all endpoints, so no additional testing is proposed for the USEPA HPV Challenge Program

6.4 Reproductive Toxicity

No suitable data is available to address this end point. A drinking water two —generation reproductive study of resorcinol in rats is currently being conducted to U.S. EPA and OECD guidelines to fulfil this SIDS endpoint.

6.5 Developmental Toxicity

Several teratogenicity studies on rats and rabbits revealed no evidence of foetotoxic, embryotoxic or teratogenic effects following oral administration (reference 8, 11, 18, 29 and 37).

Adequate data (Klimish 2) are available for all endpoints, so no additional testing is proposed for the USEPA HPV Challenge Program

Mammalian Toxicity Summary:

With this weight of evidence it is therefore proposed that adequate data (Klimish code 1 or 2) are available for all endpoints, except toxicity to fertility. Additional testing is proposed for the USEPA HPV Challenge Program to address this data gap.

7. "BEYOND SIDS" ENDPOINTS

In addition to the studies to demonstrate effects of resorcinol on skin (reference 36) and eye irritation (reference 6) and skin sensitisation (reference 24) and carcinogenicity studies have been conducted.

Long-term gavage studies performed on rats and mice did not reveal any signs of carcinogenicity (reference 1). Nor did dermal treatment of rabbits (twice a week for 180 weeks) (reference 39), yield a higher incidence of local or systemic tumours.

Tumour initiation and promotion trails performed on mice (reference 4 and 45), rats (reference 20 and 47) and hamsters (reference 30) seem to indicate that resorcinol has a minor promotional effect and epithelial-proliferation properties.

Table 9. Summary of non SIDS acute Mammalian Toxicity Data

	Dose/result	Remarks	Reference
C1.::	500mg Corrosive		36
Skin irritation	500mg Irritating		16
NOT SIDS ENDPOINT	500mg Irritating		22
Eye irritation	0.1ml of a 2.5% solution for 72 hours-Irritating	In-vivo design	36
NOT SIDS ENDPOINT	0.1ml for 24 hours Irritating		22
Skin sensitization NOT SIDS ENDPOINT	Sensitizing	Maximisation design	24

8. CONCLUSIONS

As discussed above it is concluded that there are sufficient, reliable data on resorcinol for nearly all the SIDS endpoints following a thorough review of company proprietary files, peer-reviewed literature, and/or calculations using widely accepted computer modelling programs.

Test Plan Summary: Additional testing with resorcinol is proposed and currently underway to fulfil the following endpoint:

Toxicity to reproduction (OECD 416)

9. REFERENCES

- 1. Chem.Reg.Rep., 15 Mar. (1991); NTP study on resorcinol
- 2. Acute Toxicity of 12 Industrial Chemicals to Fresh Water and Salt Water Organisms, Water Res. Vol 13, p137-141 (1979)
- 3. Beezer et al. (1980): J. Pharm Pharmacol 32, 815-819
- 4. Boutwell, Bosch (1959): Cancer Res. 19, 413-427.
- 5. Bracher et al. (1981): Mutat. Res. 91, 363-369
- 6. Bracher et al. (1987): Mol. Technol. 1, 561-570
- 7. Bringmann, Kuehn (1959) Gesundheits-Ingenieur 80, 115-120
- 8. Burnett at al. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, 1:1027-1040, 1976
- 9. Darroundi, Natarajan (1983): Mutat. Res. 124, 179-189
- 10. Devillers et al. (1987): Chemosphere 16, 1149-1163
- 11. Dinardo et al. (1985): Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 78, 163-166.
- 12. Eisenreich SJ et al.; Environ Sci Technol 15: 30-8 (1981)
 Atkinson R et al.; Environ Sci Technol 21: 64-72 (1987)
 Hoechst AG (1989) Einstufungsbegruendung TA Luft der Abt. UVC (16.11.1989)
 Perbet et al. (1979): J. Chim Phys. Chim Biol 76 89-96
- 13. Ewell et al. (1986): Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 5, 831-840
- Final Report on 90 day Inhalation-Thyroid Exposure to Resorcinol; Industrial Health Foundation Inc. Feb 1977 American Industrial Hygiene Assoc. 37, Oct. 1976 (conducted for Koppers Company)
- 15. Fish Bioassay for resorcinol, report written by Koppers
- 16. Flickinger (1976): Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 37, 596-606
- 17. Gocke et al. (1981): Mutat Res. 90, 91-109
- 18. Hazelton (1982): Submission of data by CTFA. CodeNo. 2-23b-22; zitiert in: Liebert (1986): J. Am. Coll. Toxicol. 5, 167-203. Spengler et al. (1986): Teratology 33, 31A.
- 19. Herbes, Beauchamp (1977): Bull Environ. Contam. Toxicol 17, 25-32
- 20. Hirose et al. (1989): Cancer Res. 49, 6015-6018.

- 21. Hoechst AG (1979):Acute Oral Toxicity of Nako-Brown 3G in female rats(Report number 171/79)
- 22. Hoechst AG (1979):Skin and Mucosa tolerance of Nako-Brown 3G in rabbits(Report number 172/79)
- Hoechst AG (1981): Acute Toxicity of Resorcin DS in Golden Ide (Leuciscus idus f. melanotus) (Report Number 200/81
- 24. Hoechst AG (1989):Study of Sensitizing Properties in Pirbright Wite Guinea Pigs on the Maximization Test (89.0483)
- 25. Hossack, Richardson (1977): Experientia 33, 377-378
- 26. Ind. Bio-Test Lab. Inc. (1970): BIO-FAX 11-4/70; zitiert in: Lloyd et al. (1977): Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 15, 607-610
- 27. INDSPEC Chemical Corporation: Fugacity calculations for the theoretical distrubution of resorcinol in a simple four-compartment environment
- 28. Kanabur and Banu Sangli (2000) Geobios 27 188-190
- 29. Korhonen et al. (1983): Acta Pharmacol. 52, 95-99.
- 30. Maryama et al. (1991): Carcinogenesis 12, 1331-1334
- 31. Lima (2004): Resorcinol Full life Cycle Toxicity Test with Water Fleas, Daphnia magna Under Flow-through conditions. Lab ID Number: Springborn Smithers Study No. 13048.6404
- 32. NTP (1991): NTP-TR-403, NIH Publication No. 91-2858
- 33. O'Neil, M.J. (ed.), 2001. The Merck Index An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and Biologicals, 13th ed., Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ
- 34. Paschin et al. (1986): Food Chem. Toxicol. 24, 881-883
- 35. Probst et al. (1981): Environ. Mutagen. 3, 11-31
- 36. Range Finding Toxicity Tests on Flaked Grade Resorcinol; Industrial Hygiene Foundation of America Inc., Oct Dec 1962 (conducted by Koppers Company)
- 37. Spengler et al. (1985); zitiert in NTP-TR-403 (1991).
- 38. Stauber, Florence (1987): Mar. Biol. 94, 511-519
- 39. Stenbaeck (1977): Acta Pharmcol. Toxicol. 41, 417-431.
- 40. Stom, Roth (1981): Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 27, 332-337
- 41. The Resorcinol Handbook published by INDSPEC Chemical Corporation

- 42. United States Environmental Protection agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics and Syracuse Research Corporation, 2000. EPI Suite v 3.01 (April 2001)
- 43. Van Duuren, Goldschmidt (1976): J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 56, 1237-1242.
- 44. Walter, Weidemann (1968): Z. Ernaehrungswiss. 9, 123-147
- 45. Van Leeuven et al. (1990): Ecotox. Environ. Saf. 20, 42-52
- 46. Wild et al. (1981): Mutat. Res. 85,456
- 47. Yamaguchi et al. (1989): Cancer Res. 49, 6015-6018.

Resorcinol

CAS Number: 108-46-3

ABBREVIATIONS

BCF predicted bioconcentration factor

cm³ centimetre cubed

HPV High Production Volume

IUCLID International Uniform Chemical Information Database

K_{oc} organic carbon partition coefficients

LC₅₀ lethal concentration (to 50% of dosed animals)

LD₅₀ lethal dose (to 50% of dosed animals)

LOAEL lowest observed adverse effect level

mg/kg milligrams per kilogram

mg/L milligrams per Litre

mmHg millimetre mercury

NOAEL no observed effect level

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

QSAR Qualitative Structure Activity Relationship

SIDS Screening Information Data Set

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

USFDA United Stated Food and Drug Administration

IUCLID

Data Set

 Existing Chemical
 : ID: 108-46-3

 CAS No.
 : 108-46-3

 EINECS Name
 : resorcinol

 EC No.
 : 203-585-2

 TSCA Name
 : 1,3-Benzenediol

Molecular Formula : C6H6O2

Producer related part

Company : Indspec Chemical Corporation

Creation date : 03.11.2003

Substance related part

Company : Indspec Chemical Corporation

Creation date : 03.11.2003

Status Memo

Printing date : 15.06.2004 Revision date :

Date of last update : 07.06.2004

Number of pages : 80

Chapter (profile) : Reliability (profile) : Flags (profile) :

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

1.0.1 APPLICANT AND COMPANY INFORMATION

Туре

Name : INDSPEC Chemical Corporation

Contact person

Date

Street : 411 Seventh Avenue, Suite 300

Town : 15219 Pittsburgh, PA

 Country
 : United States

 Phone
 : 412-765-1200

 Telefax
 : 412-765-0439

Telex : Cedex : Email :

Homepage

13.11.2003

1.0.2 LOCATION OF PRODUCTION SITE, IMPORTER OR FORMULATOR

1.0.3 IDENTITY OF RECIPIENTS

1.0.4 DETAILS ON CATEGORY/TEMPLATE

1.1.0 SUBSTANCE IDENTIFICATION

IUPAC Name: ResorcinolSmiles Code: c1(O)cc(O)ccc1Molecular formula: C6H6O2Molecular weight: 110.11

Petrol class

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

27.04.2004

1.1.1 GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION

Purity type

Substance type : organic Physical status : solid

Purity

Colour : White-slightly colored flake or powder

Odour : Phenolic

27.04.2004

1.1.2 SPECTRA

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

1.2 SYNONYMS AND TRADENAMES

1,3 -Benzenediol 1,3 Dihydroxybenzene

25.03.2004

Resorcin

27.04.2004

Resorcinol

27.04.2004

1.3 IMPURITIES

Purity : typical for marketed substance

CAS-No :

EINECS-Name

Molecular formula
Value

Remark: The impurities present depend on the manufacturing process. Sulfonation

Fusion Process has catechol and phenol as major impurities

27.04.2004

1.4 ADDITIVES

1.5 TOTAL QUANTITY

1.6.1 LABELLING

Labelling: as in Directive 67/548/EEC

R-Phrases : (22) Harmful if swallowed

(36/38) Irritating to eyes and skin (50) Very toxic to aquatic organisms

S-Phrases : (61) Avoid release to the environment. Refer to special instructions/Safety

data sets

Remark : Labelling per U.S. Standards (ANSI Z129.1): Danger! Corrosive To The

Eyes. Harmful If Swallowed. May Cause Skin Irritation. May Cause Allergic Skin Reaction. May be Harmful If Absorbed Through The Skin. Ingestion May Injure The Blood, Gastrointestinal Tract, Spleen, Liver, Kidneys,

Lungs, Nervous System, Thyroid, and Skin.

27.04.2004

1.6.2 CLASSIFICATION

Classified : as in Directive 67/548/EEC

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Class of danger : dangerous for the environment R-Phrases : (50) Very toxic to aquatic organisms

Specific limits

11.11.2003

Classified : as in Directive 67/548/EEC

Class of danger : harmful

R-Phrases : (22) Harmful if swallowed

Specific limits

27.04.2004

Classified : as in Directive 67/548/EEC

Class of danger : irritating

R-Phrases : (36/38) Irritating to eyes and skin

Specific limits :

14.01.2004

1.6.3 PACKAGING

1.7 USE PATTERN

Type of use : industrial

Category : Chemical industry: used in synthesis

11.11.2003

Type of use : industrial

Category : Polymers industry

11.11.2003

Type of use : industrial

Category : Textile processing industry

11.11.2003

Type of use : use

Category : Adhesive, binding agents

27.04.2004

Type of use : use Category : Cosmetics

Remark: The use includes funical creams/lotions, hair dyes

27.04.2004

Type of use : use

Category : Intermediates

Remark : Intermaediates for light screening agent, flame retardants, agricultural

chemicals, explosive primers dye stuffs.

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

27.04.2004

1.7.1 DETAILED USE PATTERN

1.7.2 METHODS OF MANUFACTURE

1.8 REGULATORY MEASURES

1.8.1 OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUES

Type of limit : TLV (US) Limit value : 45 mg/m3

Short term exposure limit value

Limit value : 90 mg/m3
Time schedule : 15 minute(s)
Frequency : times

14.01.2004 (2)

1.8.2 ACCEPTABLE RESIDUES LEVELS

1.8.3 WATER POLLUTION

1.8.4 MAJOR ACCIDENT HAZARDS

1.8.5 AIR POLLUTION

1.8.6 LISTINGS E.G. CHEMICAL INVENTORIES

1.9.1 DEGRADATION/TRANSFORMATION PRODUCTS

1.9.2 COMPONENTS

1.10 SOURCE OF EXPOSURE

1.11 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

Remark : Options for disposal:

Disposal methods include complete incineration, land (soil) farming and decomposition in activated sludge type wastewater treatment plants.

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

02.06.2004 (125)

1.12 LAST LITERATURE SEARCH

1.13 REVIEWS

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

2.1 MELTING POINT

Value : 109 - 111 °C

Decomposition : no, at °C

Sublimation : yes

Method : other

Year

GLP : no data

Test substance

Source : The Merck Index

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction
Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

17.05.2004 (93)(125)

2.2 BOILING POINT

Value : 280 °C at

Decomposition

Method : other: no data

Year

GLP : no data **Test substance** : other TS

Source : The Merck Index

Test substance : Resorcinol (Cas No. 108-46-3) Purity not given

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction
Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

26.05.2004 (93)

Value : 276.7 °C at 1013 hPa

Decomposition : yes **Method** : other

Year : GLP : Test substance :

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (125)

2.3 DENSITY

Type : density
Value : 1.272 at °C
Method : other: no data

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : other TS

Source : The Merck Index

Test substance : Resorcinol (Cas no. 108-46-3) Purity not given

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction
Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

26.05.2004 (93)

Type : density

7 / 7

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Value : 1.227 at °C

Method: otherYear: 1998GLP: no data

Test substance

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

27.05.2004 (125) (126)

Type : bulk density

Value : 1.292 g/cm³ at 20 °C

Method : other

Year : GLP : Test substance :

Reliability : (4) not assignable

27.05.2004 (75)

2.3.1 GRANULOMETRY

2.4 VAPOUR PRESSURE

Value : .1463 hPa at 25 °C

Decomposition

Method : other (calculated)

Year : 2004 GLP : no Test substance : other TS

Method : MPBPWIN v1.30 vapor pressure estimations (modified grain method)

 Result
 : 0.011 mm Hg (0.1463 hPa) @ 25°C

 Test substance
 : Resorcinol (Cas no. 108-46-3)

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (128)

Value : .00027 hPa at 25 °C

Decomposition

Method : other (measured)

Year

GLP

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (37)

Result : 1.33 hPa @ 108.4°C

6.65 hPa @ 138°C 13.3 hPa @ 152.3°C 53.2 hPa @ 185.3°C 133 hPa @ 210°C 266 hPa @ 230.8°C

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

12.05.2004 (125)

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

2.5 PARTITION COEFFICIENT

Partition coefficient

Log pow : = .8 at 35 °C

pH value

Result : 0.93 log Pow @ 20°C; 0.97 log Pow @ 15 °C

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

12.05.2004 (5)

Partition coefficient :

Log pow : 1.03 at °C

pH value

Method : other (calculated): EPIWIN v3.01

Year : 2004 GLP : no Test substance : other TS

Source : EPI Summary (V3.01)

26.05.2004 (128)

2.6.1 SOLUBILITY IN DIFFERENT MEDIA

Solubility in : Water

Value : 85710 mg/l at 25 °C

pH value

concentration : at °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol.

pKa : at 25 °C

Description : at 25 °C

c of high solubility

Stable

Deg. product

Method : other: EPIWIN v 3.01

Year : 2004 GLP : no Test substance : other TS

Method : Water solubility Estimate from Log KOW (WSKOW v1.33)

Source : EPI summary (v3.01)

Test substance : Resorcinol (Cas No. 108-46-3)

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (128)

Solubility in : Water Value : at °C

pH value

concentration : at °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol. :

pKa : at 25 °C

Description

Stable

Remark : Equivilent to 1.11E +7 mg/l at 20°C

Result : One gram dissolves in 0.9ml water, 0.2ml dissolves in 0.9 ml water, 0.2 ml

water at 80°C

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (93)

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Solubility in : Water

Value : = 58.4 vol% at 20 °C

pH value : = 4.5

concentration : 10 vol% at 23 °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol.

pKa : 9.15 at 25 °C

Description: soluble (1000-10000 mg/L)

Stable

Deg. product

Method : other

Year

GLP

Test substance

Result : 2290 g/l @ 30 °C; 5000 g/l @ 80°C

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

25.03.2004 (82) (87)

Solubility in : Water

Value : = 1400 g/l at 20 °C

pH value : =4.4

concentration : 55 g/l at 20 °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol.

pKa : at 25 °C

Description : Stable :

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

17.05.2004 (56)

Solubility in : Water

Value : = 1290 g/l at 30 °C

pH value

concentration : at °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol.

pKa : at 25 °C

Description

Stable

Remark : pKa = 11.32 @ 30°C Reliability : pKa = 11.32 @ 30°C : (2) valid with restrictions

12.05.2004 (86)

Solubility in : Organic Solvents

Value : at °C

pH value

concentration : at °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol.

pKa : at 25 °C

Description

Stable :

Remark : Solubility in acetone = 67 wt % @ 20°C and 75 wt % @ 60°C

Solubility in benzene = 2% wt % @ 20°C and 73% wt % @ 60°C

Solubility in benzene = 2% wt % @ 20°C and 14% wt % @ 60°C

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (125)

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Solubility in : Wate

Value : 58 at 20 °C

pH value

concentration : at °C

Temperature effects

Examine different pol.

pKa : at 25 °C

Description Stable

Result : 53 wt% @ 20°C

83 wt% @ 60°C

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (125)

2.6.2 SURFACE TENSION

2.7 FLASH POINT

Value : = 127 °C
Type : closed cup
Method : other
Year :

Year :
GLP :
Test substance :

rest substance

Method : ASTM D-93-97; closed cup
Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

12.05.2004 (125)

2.8 AUTO FLAMMABILITY

Value : = 608 °C at

Remark : This is auto ignition temperature

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

17.05.2004 (45)(125)

2.9 FLAMMABILITY

Result : other Method : other

Year : GLP : Test substance :

Remark : Flammable limit 1.4% by volume in air @ 200°C

12.05.2004 (91)

2.10 EXPLOSIVE PROPERTIES

Result : other Method : other

11/11

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Year : 1997
GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Method : BS 5958: Part 1: 1991 Control of Undesirable Static ElectricityVDI

Forschritt-Berichte Reihe 3: Verfahrenstecknik Nr 134

ISO Explosion Protection Systems Part 1: Determination of Explosion Indices of Combustible Dusts in Air; ISO 6184/1 ISO Geneva (1985)

Remark: Minimum ignition temperature measured for resorcinol dust was reported at

3 mj at dust concentration of 8 kg/m³. The deflagration index reported for

resorcinol dust was 134 which is a dust classification of St-1.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (101)

2.11 OXIDIZING PROPERTIES

Result : other:N/A

25.03.2004

2.12 DISSOCIATION CONSTANT

Acid-base constant : N/A

25.03.2004

2.13 VISCOSITY

2.14 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

Remark : Henry's Law constant:

8.1 x 10E-11 atm-cu m/mole @ 25°C 2.1 x 10E-6 Pa-cu m/mole @ 20°C

Koc:

estimated at 2 to 65

measured at 10.36 with water solubility of 1230 g/l and log Kow of 0.80.

13.11.2003 (78)

3. Environmental Fate and Pathways

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

3.1.1 PHOTODEGRADATION

DIRECT PHOTOLYSIS

Halflife t1/2 : 38.5 minute(s)

Degradation : % after

Quantum yield

INDIRECT PHOTOLYSIS

Sensitizer

Conc. of sensitizer

Rate constant : .0000000020028 cm³/(molecule*sec)

Degradation : 50 % after 0 day(s)

:

Deg. product

Method : other (calculated)

Year : 2004 GLP : no Test substance : other TS

Method : EPIWIN v3.01 using AopWin v1.88

Source : EPI summary (V3.01)

Test substance: Resorcinol (Cas No. 108-46-3) No purity given

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

28.05.2004 (128)

Type : air
Light source : Sun light
Light spectrum : nm

Relative intensity : based on intensity of sunlight

Spectrum of substance : lambda (max, >295nm) : 274 nm epsilon (max) : 2000

epsilon (295) :

DIRECT PHOTOLYSIS

Halflife t1/2 : = 100 hour(s)

Degradation : % after

Quantum yield : .03

INDIRECT PHOTOLYSIS

Sensitizer : OH

Conc. of sensitizer : 500000 molecule/cm³

Rate constant : = .00000000002 cm³/(molecule*sec)

Degradation : = 50 % after 1.9 hour(s)

Deg. product

Method : other (calculated)

 Year
 : 1981

 GLP
 : no data

Test substance

Remark : Concentration of substance: 10E-17 mole/I for OH radical

10 E-9 mole/l for phenol half life: OH radical 100 hours for phenol

Peroxy radical 19.2 hours for phenol

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

17.05.2004 (31)

Type : water

Light source :

Light spectrum: nm

Relative intensity : based on intensity of sunlight

Deg. product

Method

Year : 1985

13/13

3. Environmental Fate and Pathways

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

GLP : no data

Test substance

Remark : By analogy with other Phenol compounds, resorcinol should degrade in

water bodies by means of photochemically induced OH free radicals (concentration 10E-17 mol/l) and peroxy free radicals (concentration;10E-9

mol/l).

For example; Half-life time for phenol approx 100 H (sensitizer OH)

Half-life time for hydroquinone: 20 h (sensitizer OH)

Reliability : (4) not assignable

02.06.2004 (89)

Remark : For undissociated resorcinol, a lamba max. of 274 nm and an epsilon max.

of 2000 molE-1 x 1 x cmE-1, as well as a quantum yield of approx 0.03 at

253.3 nm were determined.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

02.06.2004 (95)

3.1.2 STABILITY IN WATER

Туре

 t1/2 pH4
 : = at °C

 t1/2 pH7
 : at °C

 t1/2 pH9
 : at °C

Deg. product

Method : other Year :

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : Resorcinol does not pocess any functional groups that are regarded as

being susceptible to hydrolysis, the soft ware prediction programme HYDROWIM v1.66 cannot estimate hydrolysis rate constants for phenols.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

27.05.2004 (129)

3.1.3 STABILITY IN SOIL

3.2.1 MONITORING DATA

Type of measurement: background concentration

Media : food

Concentration : Method :

Remark: Resorcinol was detected in roasted barley, in syrup and in coffee.

Result : Type of measurement: at contaminated site

media: air

result 8 µg per cigarette

remark: resorcinol was quantitatively determined in cigarette smoke

type of measurement: at contaminated site

media: waste water

result: 1 g/l

remark: at USA Coal Liquification Plant type of measurement: at contaminated site

media: waste water

3. Environmental Fate and Pathways

ld 108-46-3 Date 15.06.2004

(21)

result: 7-22 mg/l in ammonical liquid of coking process

150 mg/l in ammonical liquid of coking process < 0.1 mg/l in condensate from coking process

remark: USA coking operation.

26.05.2004 (20) (21) (44) (113) (115) (133)

Type of measurement

concentration at contaminated site other: waste water

Concentration

Media

Method

Remark An Indian author reports that resorcinol is a major waste water constituent

in the manufacture of chemicals, fertilizers and dyes. No further

information supplied.

21.01.2004 (63)

Type of measurement

Media

concentration at contaminated site other:waste water

Concentration

Method

Remark In the U.S.A., resorcinol was detected in concentrations of 7-22 mg/l in the

> ammonical liquid of two typical coking ovens. In a low-temperature coking oven, the resorcinol content of this liquid was 150 mg/l. In contrast, no resorcinol was detected in the condensate of one oven's waste gas or the waste water from a plant by a method with a limit of detection of 0.1 mg/l. (The original samples were each extracted with methyl isobutyl ketone,

derivatized with trimethylsilyl ether and analysed by means of GC-FID. 21.01.2004

Type of measurement

concentration at contaminated site

Media

other: waste water

Concentration

Method :

Remark In the waste water from a coal liquefaction plant in the U.S.A., mg/l levels

of resorcinol were determined by means of UV analysis

14.01.2004 (66)

3.2.2 FIELD STUDIES

3.3.1 TRANSPORT BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPARTMENTS

Type fugacity model level I

Media other: air/water/soil/sediment Air 0 % (Fugacity Model Level I) Water 99.88 % (Fugacity Model Level I) Soil .06 % (Fugacity Model Level I) Biota % (Fugacity Model Level II/III) Soil % (Fugacity Model Level II/III)

Method other Year 2002

Method Donald Mackay's Multimedia Environmental Models, The Fugacity

Approach (1991)

Remark Based on KOC of 2.94

Sediment: 0.07% (fugacity model level I)

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

07.06.2004 (61)

3.3.2 DISTRIBUTION

Media : air - biota - sediment(s) - soil - water

Method : Calculation according Mackay, Level I

Year : 2002

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

19.05.2004 (62)

3.4 MODE OF DEGRADATION IN ACTUAL USE

3.5 BIODEGRADATION

Type : aerobic

Inoculum: activated sludge, non-adaptedConcentration: 100 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation: = 66.7 (±) % after 14 day(s)Result: readily biodegradable

Deg. product

Method : OECD Guide-line 301 C "Ready Biodegradability: Modified MITI Test (I)"

Year : 1992 GLP : yes Test substance :

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction
Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

26.05.2004 (90)

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : activated sludge, adapted

Concentration: related to COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand)

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 97 (±) % after 4 day(s) **Result** : inherently biodegradable

Deg. product

Method : OECD Guide-line 302 B "Inherent biodegradability: Modified Zahn-Wellens

Test"

Year :

GLP : no Test substance :

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (135)

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : Penicillium sp. (Fungi)

Concentration : 2030 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : $95.3 \pm 0.3 \pm$

Result

Deg. product :

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Method : other

Year

GLP : no data

Test substance

Remark : measured degradation in aqueous medium at pH 7 and 8 as a function of N

source (ammonium sulphate) using shaking culture test.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

02.06.2004 (63)

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : Penicillium sp. (Fungi)

Concentration : 2310 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : $100 (\pm) \%$ after 5 day(s)

Result

Deg. product

Method : other
Year :
GLP : no data

Test substance :

Remark : measured degradation in aqueous medium at pH 7 and 8

using shaking culture/static culture.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

02.06.2004 (63)

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : other bacteria: activated sludge, phenol acclimated, mixed inoculum,

including garden soil, compost

Contact time :

Degradation: 95 - 98 (±) % after 2 day(s)Result: inherently biodegradable

Deg. product

Method : other: Determination of degradation from oxygen consumption

Year : 1964 GLP : no data

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (124)

Type : aerobic

inoculum : other bacteria: activated sludge, perhaps acclimated

Concentration : 138 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : $100 (\pm) \%$ after 2 day(s)

Result

Deg. product

Method : other: Modified German detergent tests

Year

GLP

Test substance

Remark : Degradation related to DOC

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (42)

Type : aerobic

inoculum : other: soil microflora from silt loam soil

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Concentration : 25 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 100 (±) % after 8 day(s)

Result

Deg. product

Method : other: Test in closed bottles

Year

GLP : no Test substance : no data

Remark : Test in closed bottles. Spectrophotometric determination at 275 nm

Test condition: Medium: aqueous mineral salts

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (4)

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : activated sludge, adapted

Concentration : related to COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand)

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 90 (±) % after 15 day(s)

Result

Kinetic of testsubst. : 3 day(s) < 10 %

4 day(s) = 43 %10 day(s) = 89 %

> % %

Deg. product

Method : other: Zahn-Wellens Test in accordance with DIN 38412, Part 25

Year : 198
GLP : no
Test substance :

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (55)

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : activated sludge, adapted

Concentration: related to COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand)

related to

Contact time

Degradation : > 95 (±) % after 10 day(s)

Result

Kinetic of testsubst. : 5 = 87 %

% % %

Deg. product

Method : other: Zahn-Wellens Test in accordance with DIN 38412, Part 25

 Year
 : 1980

 GLP
 : no

Test substance :

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (55)

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : activated sludge, adapted

Concentration: related to COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand)

related to

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Contact time

Degradation : > 95 (±)% after 7 day(s)

Result

Kinetic of testsubst. : 3 hour(s) = 2 %

1 day(s) = 28 %3 day(s) = 75 %

% %

Deg. product

Method : oth er: Zahn-Wellens Test in accordance with DIN 38412, part 25 1975

Year : 1975 GLP : no Test substance :

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (55)

Type : aerobic

inoculum : other bacteria: activated sludge, perhaps acclimated

Concentration : 500 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : $60 (\pm) \%$ after 5 day(s)

Result

Deg. product

Method : other: modified German detergents test

Year :

GLP : no Test substance :

Remark : Degradation related to DOC

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (42)

Type : aerobic

Inoculum : activated sludge, adapted

Concentration : 200 mg/l related to COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand)

related to

Contact time

Degradation: 90 (±) % after 5 day(s)Result: inherently biodegradable

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (96)

Type : anaerobic

Inoculum : activated sludge, adapted

Concentration : 500 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 83 (\pm) % after 245 day(s)

Result

Deg. product

Method : other: Bottle Test, determination of gas production (CO2 and methane)

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark: sludge from waste water treatment plant

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (7)

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Type : anaerobic

Inoculum : activated sludge, adapted

Concentration : 1000 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : $4 \pm (\pm)$ % after 245 day(s)

Result

Deg. product

Method : other: Bottle test, determination of gas production (CO2 and methane)

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (7)

Type : anaerobic

Inoculum : activated sludge, adapted

Concentration : 500 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 30 (±) % after 196 day(s)

Result

Deg. product

Method : other: Bottle test, determination of gas production (CO2 and methane)

Year : GLP :

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (7)

Type : anaerobic

Inoculum: other: anaerobic sludge, acclimatedConcentration: 2000 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : $0 (\pm) \%$ after day(s)

Result: under test conditions no biodegradation observed

Deg. product

Method : other: Bottle test, determination of gas production (CO2 and methane)

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark: No degradation after 245 days

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (7)

Type : anaerobic

inoculum : other bacteria: anaerobic sludge, municipal, acclimated

Concentration: 90 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 95 (±) % after 10 day(s)

Result :

Deg. product

Method : other: submerged anaerobic upflow filter

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

Remark : 95% of test substance degraded in hydraulic retention times of 2-10 days.

Test condition: Aclimation period: 110 hours

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (19)

Type : anaerobic

inoculum : other bacteria: Strain Re 10 (sulfate reducers)

Concentration : 220 mg/l related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 100 (±)% after 4 day(s)

Result

Deg. product

Method : other:Turbidity test (measurement of absorbance at 500 nm)

Year :

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark: Turbidity test (measurement of absorbance at 500 nm).

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (107)

Type : anaerobic inoculum : domestic sewage

Concentration: 10 related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 0 (±)% after 56 day(s)

Result : Dea. product :

Deg. product Method

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : Waste water treatment plant in Jackson MI. Degree of degradation

expressed in terms of methane production

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (58)

Type : anaerobic Inoculum : domestic sewage

Concentration: 10 related to Test substance

related to

Contact time

Degradation : 98 (±) % after 21 day(s)

Result

Deg. product Method

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : Waste water treatment plant in Adrian MI. Degree of biodegradation

expressed in terms of theoretical methane production

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (58)

3.6 BOD5, COD OR BOD5/COD RATIO

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

BOD5

Method : othe

Year

.

Concentration: 66.7 mg/l related to Test substance

BOD5 : = 100 mg/l

GLP

.

RATIO BOD5 / COD

BOD5/COD : ca. 1.74

Method : Equivilent to directive 84/449/EEC, C.8 "biodegradation: biochemical

oxygen demand"

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

27.05.2004 (97)

3.7 BIOACCUMULATION

Elimination

Method : other:calculated

Year : 2004
GLP : no
Test substance : other TS

Method:EPIWIN v3.01 using BCFWIN v2.12Result:Log BCF = 0.500 (BCF = 3.162)Reliability:(2) valid with restrictions

28.05.2004 (128)

3.8 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

Remark: degration routes:

Through the agency of procaryotes and eucaryotes, resorcinol in aqueous

medium can be metabolized via hydroxyhydroquinone (1,2,4-trihydroxybenzene) and maleyl acetate to beta-ketodipate and via hydroxyhydroquione and acetyl pyruvate to formic, acetic and pyruvic acids. In the presence of ozone, it can be degraded via pyrogallol (1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene) and 3-hydroxybenzoquione to glyoxalic acid, glyoxal,

oxalic acid, CO2 and H2O

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

26.05.2004 (16) (39) (76)

ld 108-46-3 4. Ecotoxicity Date 15.06.2004

ACUTE/PROLONGED TOXICITY TO FISH

Type static

Species Leuciscus idus (Fish, fresh water)

Exposure period 48 hour(s) Unit mg/l **NOEC** = 25 LC50 = 38.4Limit test no : **Analytical monitoring** no data

other:investigation not conducted to any guideline Method

Year 1981 : GLP : no data

Test substance : as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Remark LC50 (48hr): 95% confidence range: 34.7 - 46.8 mg/l

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (53)

Type static

Species Leuciscus idus (Fish, fresh water)

Exposure period 96 hour(s) Unit mg/l **NOEC** = 25 LC50 = 34.7Limit test : no **Analytical monitoring** no data

Method other: Investigation not conducted to any guideline

Year 1981 GLP : no data

Test substance as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Remark LC50 (96 hr): 95% Confidence limits: 31.6 - 38.1

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (53)

Type flow through

Species Pimephales promelas (Fish, fresh water)

Exposure period 96 hour(s) Unit mg/l : LC50 26.8 - 29.5

Method other:EPA-600/4-78-012 Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of

Effluents to aquatic organisms (1978)

Year 1981 **GLP** no **Test substance**

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (35)

Type

Species Gambusia affinis (Fish, fresh water)

Exposure period 96 hour(s) Unit mg/l

LC50 188.86 - 196.48 LC50 48 hours 185.7 - 188.3 183.08 - 184.92 LC50 72 hours LC50 96 hours 179.56 - 182.47

Limit test no

Analytical monitoring : no data
Method : other:
Year : 2000
GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Method: Ten fishes were exposed to the test substance at concentrations from 180-

190 mg/l. Test medium was renewed after every 24h. The dose mortality rate obtained was plotted and the LC50 values calculated. Also measured was the oxygen consumption rate which was expressed as mg of oxygen

consumed/h/g of body weight.

Conclusion : The 24, 48, 72 and 96 hour LC50 values were 190, 187, 184 and 181 mg/l

respectively. The oxygen consumption of fish decreased significantly when

exposed to the test substance.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (67)

Type : static

Species : Pimephales promelas (Fish, fresh water)

Exposure period : 96 hour(s)
Unit : mg/l
LC50 : = 88.6
LC50 (48 h) : = 72.6
LC50 (96 h) : = 53.4
Limit test : no

Analytical monitoring : no

Method : other: Acute Toxicity with Fish, macroinvertebrates and amphipians EPA-

600/3-75-009 (1975)

Year : 1978 GLP : no Test substance : no data

Remark : Nominal concentration; oxygen saturation>/= 40%

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (3)

Type : static

Species: Pimephales promelas (Fish, fresh water)

 Exposure period
 : 48 hour(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 NOEC
 : = 72.6

Limit test

Analytical monitoring : no

Method : other: Methods for Acute Toxicity Tests with Fish, Macroinvertebrates and

Amphibians, EPA-600/3-75-009, 1975

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : nominal concentration
Test condition : Saturation >=40%

26.05.2004 (24)

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

19.05.2004

4.2 ACUTE TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

Type : static

Species : Daphnia magna (Crustacea)

Exposure period 24 hour(s) Unit mg/l **EC50** 107.6 **Analytical monitoring** no data Method other 1987 Year GLP no data **Test substance** no data

Method : AFNOR (1974): Determination of the mobility of Daphnia magna (90-

301,12)

Result : 95% confidence range: 104.7 -109.9 mg/l

Test condition: Oxygen saturation>=40%: age of creatures at start of study<72 hour

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

02.06.2004 (27)

Type : flow through

Species : Daphnia magna (Crustacea)

Exposure period : 48 hour(s)

Unit : μg/l

NOEC : 172 measured/nominal EC50 : > 172 measured/nominal

Limit Test : no Analytical monitoring : yes

Method: other: EPA OPPTS 850.1300

Year : 2003 GLP : yes

Test substance: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

The information contained in this robust summary is obtained from a full life cycle toxicity test with water fleas (summarised in full in Section 4.5.2). Although this is not an acute toxicity study design test, obsevations were made of mortality and efffe cts on a daily basis including a measurement at 48 hours. From this a NOEC of 172 μ g/l and an EC50 of >172 μ g/l can be obtained at 48 hours. This information is therefore considered to be useful

for addressing the acute toxicity endpoint.

Therefore although the study itself is valid without restrictions, when it is used to support the acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates endpoint it is

considered to be reliable, but with restrictions.

01.06.2004 (77)

Type : static

Species : Daphnia magna (Crustacea)

Exposure period : 96 hour(s)
Unit : mg/l
EC50 : .25
Analytical monitoring : no

Method : other: multi -species method, not conducted to guidelines

Year : 1985
GLP : no
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

02.06.2004 (32)

Type : static

Species : Daphnia magna (Crustacea)

Exposure period : 48 hour(s)
Unit : mg/l

EC50 : <= .8
Analytical monitoring : no data

Method : other: not performed to any guidelines

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : EC50 pronounced harmful effect on 50% or more of Daphnia

Test condition: At the end of the study, the creatures were treated with electro-acoustic

waves and the number of harmed creatures that lay immobile on the

bottom were determined.

Beta-mesosaprobic and mesotropic river water was used for dilution.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (13)

Type : static

Species : Daphnia magna (Crustacea)

Exposure period : 48 hour(s)
Unit : mg/l
EC50 : 1.28
Analytical monitoring : no data

Method : other: study not conducted to any guideline

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : 95% Confidence range 0.50-1.62 mg/l

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (47)

Type :

Species : Palaemonetes pugio (Crustacea)

Exposure period : 96 hour(s)
Unit : mg/l
LC50 (24h) : = 169.5
LC50 (48 h) : = 78
LC50 (96h) : = 42.2
Analytical monitoring : yes

Method : other: Methods for Acute Toxicity Tests with Fish, Macroinvertebrates and

Amphibians, EPA-660/3-75-009, 1975

Year : 1978 GLP : no Test substance :

Remark : Artificial brackish water (pH: 8.3 - 8.7; salinity: 25+/- g/l) was used for

dilution.

LC50 24 h confidence intervals: 136.7-230.7 mg/l LC50 48 h 95% confidence intervals: 61-106.5 mg/l LC50 96 h 95% confidence intervals: 30.9-60.6 mg/l.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (3)

4.3 TOXICITY TO AQUATIC PLANTS E.G. ALGAE

Species : Chlorella pyrenoidosa (Algae)

 Endpoint
 : growth rate

 Exposure period
 : 72 hour(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC0
 : 1.1

 Limit test
 :

Analytical monitoring : no

Method : other: Determination of Cell Division Rate; investigation not performed to

guideline "Cellmultiplication-inhibition Test"

Year : 1987 GLP : no data Test substance : no data

Remark : Static test only one concentration tested. Toxicity of test substance

measured along side that of copper complexes to demonstrate effects of

copper on growth rate.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

19.05.2004 (118)

Species: Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Algae)Endpoint: other: Inhibition of spontaneous movement

 Exposure period
 : 15 hour(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC100
 : = 2753

Method : other: Determination of the lowest concentration that cause inhibition of

spontaneous movement after 15 minutes

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (123)

Species : Dunaliella salina (Algae)

Endpoint : other: Inhibition of spontaneous movement

Exposure period : 15 minute(s)

Unit : mg/l **EC100** : = 5506

Method: other: Determination of the lowest concentration that causes inhibition of

spontaneous movement after 15 minutes for the single cell algae

Year :

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (123)

Species : Euglena gracilis (Algae)

Endpoint : other: Inhibition of spontaneous movement

 Exposure period
 : 15 minute(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC100
 : = 4404

Method: other: Determination of the lowest concentration that causes inhibition of

spontaneous movement after 15 minutes for the single cell algae.

Year

GLP : no data **Test substance** : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (123)

Species : Cyclotella cryptica (Algae)

Endpoint

 Exposure period
 : 3 hour(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC0
 : = 11

 EC30
 : = 1101

Method : other: Measurement of chlorophyll fluorescence; investigation not

performed to any guidelines.

Year :

GLP : no data **Test substance** : no data

Remark : Static condition.

Result : The intensity of chlorophyll fluoresence was reduced to 70% after 3 hours

exposure to 10-2M of the test substance. The reduction in fluorescence

was only to 95% after treatment with 10-4M.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (123)

Species : other aquatic plant: Elodea canadensis (Canadian pondweed)

 Endpoint
 : growth rate

 Exposure period
 : 9 day(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC50
 : = 143.1

Method : other: Determination of growth inhibition

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (123)

Species : other aquatic plant: Lemna minor (little common duckweed)

 Endpoint
 : growth rate

 Exposure period
 : 12 day(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC50
 : = 165.2

Method : other: Determination of growth inhibition

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (123)

Species : other aquatic plant: Vallisneria spiralis

 Endpoint
 : other: Plasma flow

 Exposure period
 : 15 minute(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC100
 : 5506

Method : other: Observation plasma flow in leaves

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (123)

Species: other aquatic plant: Vallisneria spiralis

Endpoint : other: plasma flow Exposure period : 15 minute(s) Unit : mg/l

EC100 : = 55055

Method : other: Observation of plasma flow in roots

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (123)

Species : Chlorella vulgaris (Algae)

 Endpoint
 : biomass

 Exposure period
 : 6 hour(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC50
 : 835

Method : other: growth inhibition test

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark: Absorbance measurement at 680nm; temperature: 36.5°C

Absorbance measurement at 750nm; temperature: 36.5°C

Concentration was determined which caused 50% inhibition of autotrophic

growth of synchronous Clorella vulgaris suspensions.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (72)

Species : Dunaliella salina (Algae)

Endpoint : other: Inhibition of spontaneous movement

 Exposure period
 : 15 minute(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC100
 : = 4404

Method: other: Determination of the lowest concentration that causes inhibition of

spontaneous movement after 15 minutes for the single cell algae

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (122)

Species : Dunaliella salina (Algae)

Endpoint : other: inhibition of spontaneous movement

 Exposure period
 : 3 hour(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC100
 : 1652

Method : other: Determination of the lowest concentration that causes inhibition of

spontaneous movement after 15 minutes for the single cell algae

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (122)

Species : Nitella sp. (Algae)

Endpoint : other: Inhibition of Plasma flow

Exposure period : 15 minute(s)

Unit : mg/l EC100 : = 5506 EC100 (3 h) : = 2202

Method : other: Determination of the lowest concentration that causes inhibition of

plasma flow after 15 minutes

Year :

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : Test condition: 10-15°C
Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (122)

Species : other aquatic plant: Elodea canadensis (Canadian pondweed)

Endpoint : other: Chloroplast movement

 Exposure period
 : 15 minute(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC100
 : = 1101

Method : other: Observation of chloroplast movement

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (122)

4.4 TOXICITY TO MICROORGANISMS E.G. BACTERIA

Туре

Species : Aspergillus sp. (Fungi)

 Exposure period
 : 6 day(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC100
 : 2000

Method : other: Growth inhibition test

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : Acetone used as solubilizer; concentrations of 500, 700, 1000 and 2000

mg/1 caused 45, 60, 95 and 100% inhibition of mycelium growth.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (30)

Туре

Species : other fungi: Aspergillus fumigatus

Exposure period : 5 hour(s) **Unit** : mg/l

Method : other: Growth inhibition test

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : Exposure of spores (duration of exposure 4.5h = 50% germination of

control) to 1 g resorcinol/1 liquified agar had no significant effect on the spore germination rate. However, at a concentration of ≥ 500 mg/1,

shortening of the germ tubes was observed.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (64)

Туре

Species : other fungi: Penicillium chrysogenum

Exposure period : 5 day(s)
Unit : mg/l

Method : other: Growth inhibition test

Year :

Test substance :

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (48)

Type :

Species : Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Fungi)

Exposure period : 48 hour(s) **Unit** : mg/l **Ec100** : > 6400

Method : other: Growth inhibition test

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (48)

Type : aquatic

Species : Escherichia coli (Bacteria)

Exposure period : 48 hour(s) **Unit** : mg/l **EC80** : <= 40000

Method: other: Growth inhibition test (test parameter: colony formation)

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark: The lowest concentration that caused 70% growth inhibition.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (34)

Type : aquatic

Species : Escherichia coli (Bacteria)

Exposure period : 16 hour(s) **Unit** : mg/l **EC70 (48h)** : <= 40000

Method : other: Inhibition of glucose degradation: investigation not performed to any

guideline.

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : SG = harmfulness threshold

The effect of toxins on the metabolic process manifests itself in a slower drop in pH in the damaged cultures than in the control cultures. Temperature @ 25°C; initial pH: 7.5-7.8; dilution water: Water from the

receiving stream filtered until no longer turbid.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (14)

Type : aquatic

Species : Pseudomonas fluorescens (Bacteria)

Exposure period : 16 hour(s)
Unit : mg/l
EC70 (48h) : <= 40000

Method : other: Inhibition of glucose degradation; investigation not performed to any

guideline

Year :

GLP : no data **Test substance** : no data

Remark : SG: harmfulness threshold

The effect of toxins on the metobolic process manifests itself in a slower

drop in pH in the damaged cultures than in the control culture.

Test condition: temperature @ 25°C; initial pH @ 7.5-7.8; Dilution water:

water from the receiving stream filtered until no longer turbid.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (14)

Type : aquatic

Species : other fungi: Chaetomium cupreum

Exposure period

Unit

Method : other: Growth inhibition test

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : The soil fungus grows in aqueous medium containing resorcinol as the sole

source of carbon.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (8)

Type : aquatic

Species : other fungi: Drechslera oryzae

Exposure period

Unit : mg/l

Method : other: Growth inhibition test

Year :

GLP :

Test substance

Remark : The parasitic fungus grows in aqueous medium containing resorcinol as

the sole source of carbon; growth inhibition occurs from >=2202 mg/l.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (8)

Type : aquatic

Species : other fungi: Fusarium oxysporum

Exposure period

Unit

Method : other: Growth inhibition test (test parameter: colony formation)

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : The parasitic fungus grows in aqueous medium containing resorcinol as

the sole source of carbon; growth inhibition occurs from >=2202 mg/l

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (8)

Type : other: Agar plate
Species : Fusarium sp. (Fungi)

Exposure period : 14 hour(s)

Unit

Method : other

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : Exposure of spores (duration of exposure 13.5 h = 50% germination of the

control) to 1 g resorcinol/1 liquified agar had no significant effect on the

spore germination rate.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (64)

Type : other: Agar plate

Species : other bacteria: Xanthomonas campestris pv. betlicola

Exposure period : 48 hour(s)
Unit : mg/l

Method : other: Spot test

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : 50-250 mg/1; 3 plates/concentration; concentration-dependent growth

inhibition (inhibition zone: max 35 mm); plant-pathogenic bacterium; in vivo: monthly spraying with 250 and 500 ppm for 7 months effected 68.35 and

72.15% disease control.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (127)

Type : other: Colony diameter on agar plate

Species: other fungi: Fusarium oxysporum (soil fungus)

 Exposure period
 : 6 day(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC50
 : ca. 1101

Method: other: Growth inhibition test

Year :

GLP

Test substance :

Remark : Test condition @ 25°C Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (116)

Type :

Species : Candida utilis (Fungi)

Exposure period : 48 hour(s)

Unit

EC100 : > 6400

Method : other: Growth inhiibition test

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (48)

4.5.1 CHRONIC TOXICITY TO FISH

Species : Brachydanio rerio (Fish, fresh water)

Endpoint : other:malformations

 Exposure period
 : 7 day(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC50
 : = 54.8

 Analytical monitoring
 : no data

Method : OECD Guide-line draft "Early Life Stage Test (ELS-Test)"

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark: 95% confidence range: 38.3-78.5 mg/l

Test condition : System: semi static (3 changes of water in 7 days)

Test substance : >=99% pure

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (131)

Species : Brachydanio rerio (Fish, fresh water)

Endpoint : weight of young fish

Exposure period : 7 day(s)
Unit : mg/l
LOEC : = 32
Analytical monitoring : no data

Method : OECD Guide-line draft "Early Life Stage Test (ELS-Test)"

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Test condition : system semi static (3 changes of water in 7 days)

Test substance: Purity:>=99%

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (131)

Species : Brachydanio rerio (Fish, fresh water)

Endpoint : other:embryolethality

Exposure period : 7 day(s)
Unit : mg/l
LC50 : 262
Analytical monitoring : no data

Method : OECD Guide-line draft "Early Life Stage Test (ELS-Test)"

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : 95% confidence limits 190-361 mg/l

Test condition : System: semi static (3 changes of water in 7 days)

Test substance : Purity:>=99%

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (131)

Species : Salmo gairdneri (Fish, estuary, fresh water)

Endpoint : other:embryolethality

 Exposure period
 : 60 day(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 LC50
 : = 320

 Analytical monitoring
 : no data

Method : OECD Guide-line draft "Early Life Stage Test (ELS-Test)"

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : 95% confidence range: 100-1000 mg/l

Test condition : System: semi static (3 changes of water in 7 days)

Test substance: Purity:>=99%

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (131)

Species : Salmo gairdneri (Fish, estuary, fresh water)

Endpoint : other:malformations

Exposure period : 60 day(s) **Unit** : mg/l **EC50 (7d)** : = 260

Method : OECD Guide-line draft "Early Life Stage Test (ELS-Test)"

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : 95% confidence range: 224-302 mg/l

Test condition: System: semi static (3 changes of water in 7 days)

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (131)

4.5.2 CHRONIC TOXICITY TO AQUATIC INVERTEBRATES

Species : Daphnia magna (Crustacea)

Endpoint : mortality
Exposure period : 21 day(s)
Unit : μg/l

NOEC : 172 measured/nominal
LOEC : > 172 measured/nominal
EC50 : > 172 measured/nominal

Analytical monitoring : yes

Method: EPA OPPTS 850.1300

Year : 2003 **GLP** : yes

Test substance: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Method : Conducted to:

OECD Guideline No. 211 FIFRA Guideline 72-4

OPPTS Draft Guideline 850.1300

Result : Nominal test concentrations: 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 μg/l

Mean measured concentrations: 11, 35, 53, 111 and 172 µg/l

Test condition: Test conditions:

21 day duration, 19 to 21°C, illumination of 16 hours light: 8 hours darkness

at 35 to 75 footcandles (380 to 810 lux).

Dilution water: Fortified well water ph: 8.0 to 8.2

Specific conductivity: 500 µmhos/cm Total hardness as CaCO3: 160 mg/l Total alkalinity as CaCO3: 110 mg/l

Statistical analysis:

At the termination of the study, data obtained on organism survival, reproduction (cumulative number of offspring produced) and growth (as dry weight and total body length) were statistically analyzed to identify significant treatment-related effects. The cumulative number of offspring per female in each replicate vessel was determined by dividing the number of counted offspring at the designated interval by the number of surviving female daphnids recorded during the previous biological observation interval. The number of offspring per female at each of the observation intervals was summed to provide the cumulative number of offspring per female for each replicate test vessel. Analyses were performed using the mean replicate organism response in each treatment group rather than individual response values. All statistical analyses were conducted at the 95% level of certainty except in the case of the Shapiro-Wilks Test and the Bartlett's Test, in which the 99% level of certainty was applied. The 99% level of certainty is preferred for these qualifying tests. The following procedures were used:

Significant differences in the percent survival were evaluated after transformation (e.g., arcsine square-root percentage) of the data.
 The Chi Square and Shapiro Wilks' Test for normality was used to compare the observed sample distribution with a normal distribution for reproduction, length and weight. Shapiro-Wilks Test for normality (Weber et al., 1989) was used to compare the observed sample distribution with a

normal distribution for survival. The survival data were not normally distributed, therefore a non-parametric procedure, e.g., Kruskal Wallis' Test, Dunn's Test (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981) or Steel's One-Many Rank Test (Weber et al., 1989) was used for subsequent analyses.

3. As a check on the assumption of homogeneity of variance, data for reproduction, length and weight were analyzed using Hartley's Test and Bartlett's Test (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981). No homogeneity of variance test was conducted for survival because the data were not normally distributed. 4. Survival data was analyzed prior to the analysis of the reproduction and growth data (total length and dry weight); treatment levels at which significant adverse effects on survival were observed were excluded from statistical analysis of daphnid reproduction and growth. For the purpose of determining survival effects, immobilized organisms were considered dead. 5. If the data passed the two tests for normality and homogeneity, then a parametric method was used to evaluate the results of the life-cycle test, e.g., Williams' Test (Williams, 1971, 1972) or Dunnett's Test. For this study, all endpoints met the assumptions for normal distribution and homogeneity of variance and were evaluated with Williams' Test and Bonferroni's Test to establish treatment effects on organism reproduction and growth (as total body length and dry weight).

The theoretical threshold concentration expected to produce no deleterious effects at the 95% level of certainty was estimated as the Maximum Acceptable Toxicant Concentration (MATC). The MATC is equal to the geometric mean of the limits set by the lowest mean measured concentration that elicited a statistically significant effect on organism performance (Lowest-Observed-Effect Concentration, LOEC) and the highest test concentration that elicited no statistically significant difference between the exposed organisms and the control (No-Observed-Effect Concentration, NOEC). Based on these data, the MATC of Resorcinol to daphnids was estimated. Determination of these levels is based on the most sensitive of the performance criteria evaluated (e.g., organism survival, reproduction and growth at study termination).

Protocol deviations: There were no protocol deviations recorded.

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction
Flag : Critical study for SIDS endpoint

02.06.2004 (77)

4.6.1 TOXICITY TO SEDIMENT DWELLING ORGANISMS

4.6.2 TOXICITY TO TERRESTRIAL PLANTS

Species : Lactuca sativa (Dicotyledon)

 Endpoint
 : growth

 Exposure period
 : 3 day(s)

 Unit
 : mg/l

 EC50
 : ca. 200

 EC37
 : ca. 100

 EC75
 : ca. 400

 Method
 : other: no data

Year : 1976 GLP : no data Test substance : no data

Test condition : Test parameter: Inhibition of root elongation

Reliability : (4) not assignable

ld 108-46-3 4. Ecotoxicity Date 15.06.2004

26.05.2004 (18)

Species other terrestrial plant: Atriplex triangularis (Halophytes)

Endpoint other: Inhibition of Germination

20 day(s) **Exposure period** Unit mg/l EC93 = 1100Method other: no data

Year

GLP no data **Test substance** no data

Remark 20 days exposure of seeds of the halophytic plant to 1.1 g resorcinol/l

water (Tween 20 used as solubilizer) caused 93% inhibition of germination

relative to control.

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (69)

Species other terrestrial plant: Chick-pea plants

Endpoint :

Exposure period

Unit

Remark Chick-pea plants were sprayed at the start of blossoming and 15 days later

with solutions of resorcinol (5, 20 and 50 mg/l). In comparison with the

control cultures, the number of the pods per plant at the middle

concentration was strongly increased. The number of peas per pod was reduced at the lowest concentration. The weight of one thousand peas and the yield per hectare were greater than in reference cultures. The content of soluble protein and soluble sugars was also higher and the content of free amino acids and starch only greater than in the reference cultures at

the low concentration.

Reliability (4) not assignable

:

26.05.2004 (80)

Species other terrestrial plant: Pea plants (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.)

Endpoint Exposure period

Unit

Remark Pea plants (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.), which were sprayed with resorcinol

> (500 l/ha; concentration 100 mg/l) 70 and 77 days after having been planted had 17% more blossoms per plant relative to the control and 9%

more pods.

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (114)

Species other terrestrial plant: Imatiens balsamina

Endpoint

Exposure period

Unit

Remark In studies conducted in Imatiens balsamina, a spring cabbage, 4 out of 10

> plants (control:0) managed to blossom despite 25 applications (3 drops every 2 days to a cotton bud located around the growing tip of the plant) of resorcinol (10 mg/l) and 24 hours exposure to light every day. At 8 hours light exposure every day, even the control plants became active: however,

the resorcinol-treated plants formed more buds more rapidly.

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (68)

4.6.3 TOXICITY TO SOIL DWELLING ORGANISMS

Type : artificial soil

Species : Eisenia fetida (Worm (Annelida), soil dwelling)

 Endpoint
 : mortality

 Exposure period
 : 42 day(s)

 Unit
 : mg/kg soil dw

 LC100
 : ca. 40000

 Method
 : other

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Test condition : 24 degree C **Reliability** : (4) not assignable

27.05.2004 (43)

Type : artificial soil

Species : Eisenia fetida (Worm (Annelida), soil dwelling)

Endpoint : weight
Exposure period : 42 day(s)
Unit : mg/kg soil dw
LOEC : = 10000

Remark : Test parameter: Growth inhibition
Result : Retarded body weight gains

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (43)

4.6.4 TOX. TO OTHER NON MAMM. TERR. SPECIES

Species : other not soil dwelling arthropod: Lasioderma serricorne

 Endpoint
 : mortality

 Exposure period
 : 69 day(s)

 Unit
 : ppm

 LC70
 : = 100000

Method

Year : 1990

GLP : Test substance :

Remark : Larvae and adult animals of the beetle Lasioderma serricorne, which live in

symbiosis with an intracellular yeast, received resorcinol (10%) with their feed. After 14 and 26.6 days exposure, the mortality rate was 70% (control after 14 days exposure: 0). In the case of aposymbiotic insects (free from the intracellular symbiotic yeast), the mortality rate after 61.8 days

exposure was 70%.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (29)

4.7 BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS MONITORING

4.8 BIOTRANSFORMATION AND KINETICS

4. Ecotoxicity

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

4.9 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

ld 108-46-3 5. Toxicity Date 15.06.2004

TOXICOKINETICS, METABOLISM AND DISTRIBUTION 5.0

In Vitro/in vivo In vivo Type Metabolism rat

Species

Number of animals

Males

Females

Doses

112 and 225 mg/kg Males 112 and 225 mg/kg **Females**

Vehicle other: corn oil

Route of administration gavage **Exposure time** 5 day(s)

Product type guidance

Decision on results on acute tox. tests Adverse effects on prolonged exposure

Half-lives

1^{s1} 2nd. 3^{rd}

Toxic behaviour Deg. product

Method other Year 1987

GLP

Test substance other TS: 14C radiolabelled test substance

Result The test substance was readily absorbed from the GI tract, rapidly

> metabolized and excreted by male and female rats. In both sexes, most of the dose was excreted in the urine within 24 hours after oral administration of 112 mg/kg, indicating little potential for bioaccumulation in animal tissues. Less than 3% of an oral dose was excreted in the faeces. An analysis of bile indicated that at least 50% of the dose excreted in bile undergoes enterohepatic circulation to be eventually excreted in urine. Little of the parent compound was excreted in urine; most of the dose was in the form of three major and one minor metabolite. The relative amounts of metabolites excreted changed only slightly with time and dose

> administered. Approximately 70% of the total radioactivity in the urine of both sexes was in the form of glucuronide conjugate. Female rats excreted a greater portion of the dose as a sulfate conjugate than males. Males excreted more of a diconjugate both sulfate and glucuronide groups. Repeated exposure to up to five daily doses resulted in no apparent alteration of the pattern of absorption, metabolism and excretion observed

after a single dose.

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (70)

In Vitro/in vivo In vivo Type Metabolism

Species rat

Number of animals

Males

Females

Doses

Males 100 mg/kg

Females

Vehicle water

Route of administration S.C. **Exposure time** 30 day(s)

Product type guidance

Decision on results on acute tox. tests : yes

Adverse effects on prolonged exposure Half-lives : 1st:

1st: 2nd: 3rd:

Toxic behaviour : none
Deg. product : yes
Method :

Year : 1982 GLP : no data

Test substance

Result : Repeated dosing for 30 days with maximum tolerated daily doses of 100

mg/kg did not alter pharmacokinetic parameters, nor cause overt toxic signs or adverse reactions. The animals body weight, blood values, levels of serum T3 and T4 and the gross microscopic appearance of the thyroid

gland and spinal cord remained within normal limits.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (88)

In Vitro/in vivo : In vivo
Type : Absorption
Species : human

Number of animals

Males : 3 Females :

Doses

Males : 12 mg/kg/day

Females

Vehicle : other:hydroalcohol

Route of administration : dermal Exposure time : 28 day(s)

Product type guidance :
Decision on results on acute tox. tests :

Decision on results on acute tox. tests Adverse effects on prolonged exposure

Half-lives : 1st:

2nd:

Toxic behaviour :
Deg. product :
Method :

Test substance

Result : The adsorption and metabolic disposition of 2% resorcinol applied topically

in a hydroalcoholic vehicle was determined in three human subjects. The test substance penetrated the skin at a rate of 0.37 μ g/cm2/hour. After two weeks of bid application of 800 mg resorcinol to about 30% of body surface of each cubject, an average of 1.64% of the dosage was being excreted in 24-hour urine specimens as the glucuronide or as the sulfate conjugate. There was no resorcinal in blood drawn at weeks 1, 2, 3 and 4 nor were there any abnormalities in thyroid function or blood chemistries at weeks 2,

3 and 4.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (141)

5.1.1 ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY

Type : LD50

Value : = 202 mg/kg bw

Species: ratStrain: WistarSex: femaleNumber of animals: 10

Vehicle : other: A 5% suspension in 2% thin paste of starch used for various doses

Doses : 100, 160, 250, 400, 630 mg/kg

Method : other:In house method

Year : 1979 GLP : no Test substance :

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (51)

Type : LD50

Value : = 980 mg/kg bw

Species: ratStrain: no dataSex: maleNumber of animals: 5Vehicle: water

Doses

Method : other: Federal Register of August 12, 1961 pages 7333-7341

Year : 1962 GLP : no Test substance : other TS

Remark : 95% confidence limits: 740-1290 mg/kg

Dosage administered by stomach intubation to groups of non-fasted male albino rats weighing between 200-300 gms at four consecutive dosages.

Rats were observed for 14 days.

Test substance : Flaked grade

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (103)

Type : LD50

Value : 301 mg/kg bw

Species : rat Strain :

Sex : male Number of animals : 5 Vehicle : water

Doses : 147, 215, 316, 464 mg/kg

Method: other:no dataYear: 1970GLP: no dataTest substance: no data

Result : LD50 95% confidence limits: 213 - 426 mg/kg

Mortalities:

Dose mg/kg No. of deaths Time of death

147 0/5 N/A 215 1/5 0-4 hours 316 3/5 0-4 hours 464 4/5 0-4 hours

Clinical signs:

Clinical signs of fibrillation, tremors, convulsions, salivation, dyspnea, sedation, and emaciation were observed in all treatment groups.

Gross autopsy:

No significant findings were observed during the gross autopsy of

survivors.

In decendants there were gross autopsy findings of hemmorhage of lungs,

inflammation of gastrointestinal tract and hyperemia of liver.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

02.06.2004 (60)

5.1.2 ACUTE INHALATION TOXICITY

5.1.3 ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY

Type : LD50

Value : = 3360 mg/kg bw

Species : rabbit

Strain

Sex : male Number of animals : 4

Vehicle: physiol. salineDoses: 4000 mg/kg

Method : other: Federal Register of August 12 1961, pages 7333-7341

Year : 1962 GLP : no Test substance :

Remark : Four male albino rabbits weighing between 2.3 - 3.0 kg; Seven day

laboratory observation and acclimatization period.

Result : The material produced necrosis of the skin in all the rabbits exposed to

3980 mg/kg and above. The rabbits exposed to 1000 mg/kg showed only slight hyperkeratosis following signs of moderate to severe irritation after 24 hours contact. Dosed animals did not show the same body weight gains throughout the 14 day exposure period as the control animals. No

internal gross lesions were observed at autopsy.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (103)

5.1.4 ACUTE TOXICITY, OTHER ROUTES

Type : LC50

Value : = 215 mg/kg bw

Species : mouse

Strain

Sex : male

Number of animals : Vehicle : Doses :

Route of admin. : i.p.

Exposure time :

Method: Other: no dataYear: 1966GLP: no dataTest substance: no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (98)

Type : LC50

Value : 450 mg/kg bw

Species : rat

Strain Sex

Number of animals

Vehicle

Doses

Route of admin. : s.c.

Exposure time

Method : other: no data

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (119)

Type : LC50

Value : 213 mg/kg bw

Species : mouse

Strain

Sex :

Number of animals : Vehicle :

Doses

Route of admin. : s.c.

Exposure time

Method : other:no data

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (81)

5.2.1 SKIN IRRITATION

Species : rabbit Concentration : 500 mg

Exposure

Exposure time : 24 hour(s)

Number of animals

Vehicle : physiol. saline

PDII : 4.4

Result : corrosive

Classification : irritating

Method : other: Federal Hazardous Substances Labeling Act (FHSLA), Federal

Register Aug. 12, 1961, p 7333-7341, Part 191 "Hazardous Substances Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations, Final Order"

Year : GLP :

Test substance

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (103)

Species : rabbit Concentration : 500 mg

Exposure :

Exposure time : 24 hour(s)

Number of animals

Vehicle

PDII : 4.4

Result : irritating

Classification : irritating

Method : other: Patch-Test

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (37)

Species: rabbitConcentration: 500 mgExposure: OcclusiveExposure time: 24 hour(s)

Number of animals : 6

Vehicle : physiol. saline

PDII : 2.8

Result : slightly irritating

Classification : irritating

Method : other: FDA Guidelines (Federal Register 38, no.187, 9/27/1973, p. 27019)

Year : 1979 GLP : no data

Test substance

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (52)

5.2.2 EYE IRRITATION

Species : rabbit

Concentration

Dose : .1 other: gm Exposure time : 72 hour(s)

Comment :

Number of animals : 6 Vehicle :

Result : corrosive Classification : irritating

Method : other: Federal Hazardous Substance Labeling Act (FHSLA), Federal

Register Aug 12, 1961, p 7333-7341, Part 191 "Hazardous Substances Definitions and Procedural and Interpretative Regulations, Final Order"

Year : 1962

GLP :

Test substance

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (103)

Species : rabbit
Concentration : 100 mg
Dose : .1 ml
Exposure time : 24 hour(s)

Comment : rinsed after (see exposure time)

Number of animals : 6

Vehicle : physiol. saline Result : highly irritating

Classification : irritating

Method : other:FDA guidelines Federal register 30, No. 87 9/27/1973 p 27019

Year : 1979
GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (52)

Dose

Exposure time : Comment : Number of animals : Vehicle :

Result : not irritating
Classification : not irritating
Method : other:
Year : 1987
GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Method : The Draize irritation test was performed on guinea pigs by instilling 100µl of

2.5% solution into the eye. Eye irritation on three distinct tissues (cornes,

conjunctiva, iris) were scored after 0.5, 1,2,3,4,6,7 and 24 h.

Remark: Instillation of 100 ul of a 2.5% test substance solution (Draize method)

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (11)

5.3 SENSITIZATION

Type : Guinea pig maximization test

Species : guinea pig

Number of animals : 10

Vehicle: physiol. salineResult: sensitizingClassification: sensitizing

Method : OECD Guide-line 406 "Skin Sensitization"

Year : 1989 **GLP** : yes

Test substance : as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

20.05.2004 (54)

5.4 REPEATED DOSE TOXICITY

Type : Sub-acute Species : rat

Sex: male/femaleStrain: Fischer 344Route of admin.: gavageExposure period: 2 weeks

Frequency of treatm. : Once daily for 5 days a week (12 doses dispensed over 17 days)

Post exposure period

Doses : 0, 27.5, 55, 110, 225, 450 mg/kg/bw

Control group : yes

ld 108-46-3 5. Toxicity Date 15.06.2004

NOEL 450 mg/kg bw

Method

Year 1991

GLP

Test substance as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Result Body weight development lay in same range as that of control; no

substance-related macroscopic or histopathological changes

Test substance Obtained from NAPP Chemicals, Incorporated. Preparation in water, purity

Reliability (1) valid without restriction

02.06.2004 (17)(92)

Sub-chronic Type

Species rat

Sex male/female Strain Fischer 344 Route of admin. gavage **Exposure period** 13 weeks

Frequency of treatm. Once a day 5 days a week

Post exposure period

Doses 0, 32, 65, 130, 260, 520 mg/kg/bw

Control group yes **NOEL** 260 Method

Year 1991 **GLP** no data

Test substance

Result Body weight development lay in same range as that of control; in the 520

> mg/kg body weight dose group, 8 out of 10 males and 8 out of 10 females died; no substance-related macroscopic or histopathological changes.

Test substance Obtained from NAPP Chemicals, Incorporated. Preparation in water, purity

>99%

Reliability (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17)(92)

Sub-acute Type **Species** mouse Sex male/female Strain B6C3F1 gavage Route of admin. **Exposure period** 2 weeks

Frequency of treatm. Once a day 5 days a week (12 doses over 17 days)

Post exposure period

Doses 0, 37.5, 75, 100, 300, 600 mg/kg/bw

Control group yes

NOEL 100 mg/kg bw

Method

Year 1991

GLP

Test substance

Result Body weight development lay in same range as that of control; in the 600

> mg/kg body weight dose group, 4 out of 5 males and 5 out of 5 females died; in the 300 mg/kg body weight group, 1 out of 5 males died; no substance related macroscopic or histopathological changes.

Test substance Preparation in water, purity >99%

Reliability (1) valid without restriction

26.05.2004 (1)(92)

Type Sub-chronic

Species: mouseSex: male/femaleStrain: B6C3F1Route of admin.: gavageExposure period: 13 weeks

Frequency of treatm.

Control group : yes

NOEL : 225 mg/kg bw

Result : Body weight development lay in same range as that of control; in the 420

mg/kg body weight dose group, 8 out of 10 males and 8 out of 10 females died; no substance-related macroscopic or histopathological changes.

Test substance : Preparation in water, purity >99% **Reliability** : (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17) (92)

Type : Sub-acute
Species : rat
Sex : no data

Strain :

Route of admin. : inhalation

Exposure period : 2 weeks

Frequency of treatm. : 6 hour per day

Post exposure period : several months

Doses : 34 mg/m³

Control group : no data specified

Result : Other species tested were rabbits and guinea pigs. No sub-related

changes, particularly no damage to lungs or trachea, no signs of allergic

reaction in respiratory tract.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (37)

Type : Sub-acute
Species : rat
Sex : no data
Strain :

Route of admin. : oral feed Exposure period : 2 weeks

Frequency of treatm.

Post exposure period : Several months

Doses : 5% (approx. 2500 mg/kg bw)

Control group : no data specified

Result : Increased thyroid gland weight; reduced T4 content in plasma; lower half-

life time for T4.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (6)

Type : Sub-acute
Species : rat
Sex : male

Strain

Route of admin. : oral feed Exposure period : 4 weeks

Frequency of treatm.

Post exposure period :

Doses : 0-260 mg/kg bw

Control group : yes

Result: No mortality and no clinical symptoms, no hispathological findings, no

influence on body weight development, decrease in relative weight of

adrenal gland in all treated animals.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (36)

Type : Sub-acute
Species : rat
Sex : male

Strain :

Route of admin. : oral feed Exposure period : 8 weeks

Frequency of treatm.

Post exposure period

Doses : 0.8% (approx. 800 mg/kg body weight/day for an assumed feed

consumption of 100 g/kg body weight/day)

Control group : yes

Result : Body weight development, feed and water consumption lay in the range of

those of the control; no substance-related changes in the mucous

membrane of the fore stomach or glandular stomach.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (112)

Type : Sub-chronic

Species : rat

Sex : male/female
Strain : Wistar
Route of admin. : inhalation
Exposure period : 90 days
Frequency of treatm. : 8 hours

Post exposure period Doses

Control group

Method : other: not concluded to any guidelines

Year : 1977 GLP : no Test substance : no data

Remark: Number of animals: 50

Result: There were significant differences observed in blood chemistry and

hematology values, but no valid conclusions were drawn from this data.

LC50 = 7.8 mg/l

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

02.06.2004 (33)

5.5 GENETIC TOXICITY 'IN VITRO'

Type : Ames test

System of testing : Salmonella typhimrium TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537

Test concentration : 0, 33, 100, 333, 1000, 3333 μg/plate

Cvcotoxic concentr. : N/A

Metabolic activation : with and without

Result : negative

Method : other:Haworth et al. (1983)

Test substance :

Test substance : Coded aliquot from Radian Corporation (Austin, TX)

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17) (92)

Type : Cytogenetic assay

System of testing : Ovarial cells of the Chinese hamster (CHO)

Test concentration : 750, 1000, 1500 and 2000 μg/ml in the absence of S9 mix and 4000, 4500

and 5000 in the presence of S9 mix

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: with and without

Result : positive

Method: other: Galloway et al (1985, 1987)

Year : 1991 GLP : no data

Test substance

Result : Ambiguous in the absence of S9 mix, but positive in the presence of S9

mix.

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17) (92)

Type : Mouse lymphoma assay

System of testing : L 5178Y TK +/-

Test concentration : 156.25, 312.5, 625, 1250, 2500, 5000 μg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr. : 5000

Metabolic activation : without Result : positive

Method : other: McGreagor et al (1988a) and Clive et al (1979)

Year : 1991 GLP : no data

Test substance

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17) (92)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay

System of testing : Ovarial cells of the Chinese hamster (CHO)

Test concentration: 50, 167, 500 and 1670 μg/ml in the absence of S9 mix and 500, 1670 and

5000 in the presence of S9 mix.

Cycotoxic concentr. : 1670 µg/ml in the absence of S9 mix

Metabolic activation : with and without

Result : positive

Method : other: Galloway et al (1985, 1987)

Year : 1991 GLP : no data

Test substance :

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17) (92)

Type : Ames test

System of testing : Salmonella tuphimrium TA1538

Test concentration : Cycotoxic concentr. :

Metabolic activation: with and without

Result : negative

Method : other: Hoechst Ag Internal Directive, 1977

Year :

GLP :

Test substance: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Reliability : (4) not assignable

ld 108-46-3 5. Toxicity Date 15.06.2004

26.05.2004 (50)

Type Ames test

System of testing Salmonella typhimrium TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535, TA 1537, TA 1538

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation with and without

Result negative

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Remark 5-1000 µg/plate, 3 plates/concentration, independent repetition; cytotoxic

range not included negative for both with and without metabolic activation.

Reliability (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (111)

Type Cytogenetic assay

System of testing Periphereal human lymphocytes

Test concentration 20-100 µg/ml; 800 metaphases assessed

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation without Result positive

Method Year

Test substance

Remark Concentration-dependent increase in the aberration rate.

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (25)

Type Cytogenetic assay

Ovarial cells of the Chinese hamster (CHO) System of testing Test concentration 1600 µg/ml; 200 metaphases assessed

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation with and without

Result positive

Method

GLP

Year

GLP Test substance

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (121)

Type Cytogenetic assay

System of testing Peripheral human lymphocytes

Test concentration 80-320 µg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr. 100 metaphases/concentration

Metabolic activation without Result negative

Method

Year GLP

Test substance

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (25)

Type Cytogenetic assay

System of testing : Ovarial cells of the Chinese hamster (CHO)

Test concentration : 400-1600 μg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr. : 200 metaphases assessed

Metabolic activation: with and without

Result : negative

Method Year

Year GLP

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (25)

Type : Ames test

System of testing : salmonella typhimrium TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: withResult: negative

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance :

Remark : 200-500 µg/plate; 3 plates/concentration; independent repetition; cytotoxic

range included.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

02.06.2004 (22)

Type : Ames test

System of testing : Salmonella typhimrium TA98

:

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation : with and without

Result : negative

Method

Year GLP

GLP

Test substance

Remark : Concentrations of 10-30 μg/plate; no information on cytotoxic range

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (134)

Type : Ames test

System of testing : Escherichia coli WP@, WP2uvrA-

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation : with and without

Result : negative

Method

Year :

GLP :

Test substance :

Reliability : (4) not assignable

20.05.2004 (100)

Type : Ames test

System of testing : Salmonella typhimrium TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537,TA1538

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr. :

Metabolic activation with and without

Result negative

Method

Year GLP

Test substance

Remark 5-1000 µg/plate, 3 plates/concentration, independent repetition; cytotoxic

range not included.

Reliability (4) not assignable

02.06.2004 (111)

Type Ames test

System of testing Salmonella typhimrium TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537

Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation with Result negative

Method

Year GLP

Test substance

Remark 10-1000 µg/plate; no information on cytotoxic range

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (84)

Type Ames test

System of testing Salmonella typhimrium TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, TA1538

Test concentration Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation with and without

Result positive

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Remark Concentration up to 3600 µg/plate; in ZLM medium:

> TA100: -S9 positive, +S9 ambiguous TA1535: -S9 negative, +S9 positive

In other strains: negative

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (41)

Type Ames test

System of testing Salmonella typhimrium TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation with and without

Result negative

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Remark Preincubation method; 33-333 µg/plate; 3 plates/concentration,

independent repetition; cytotoxic.

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (92)

Type Ames test

System of testing Salmonella typhimrium TA100, TA1538

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation without Result negative

Method Year

GLP Test substance

Remark 3.3-3000 µg/plate Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (38)

Type Ames test

System of testing Salmonella typhimrium TA98

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation with and without

Result negative

Method Year

GLP

Test substance

Remark 500-2000 µg/plate; 3 plates/concentration

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (23)

Cytogenetic assay Type System of testing Human lymphocytes

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation no data Result positive

Method Year

GLP

Test substance

Remark Abstract

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (73)

Type Cytogenetic assay

System of testing Fibroblasts of the Chinese hamster (CHL)

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation without Result positive

Method

Year **GLP**

Test substance

Remark With metabolic activation (S9 mix), reduction inclastogenic effect (abstract)

Reliability (4) not assignable

(106)26.05.2004

Mitotic recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae **Type**

System of testing Saccharomyces cerevisiae D7

Test concentration 1000 µg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: withoutResult: ambiguous

Method : Year : GLP :

Test substance

Remark : At pH of 7 test substance: negative

At pH of 10 test substance: positive

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (105)

Type : Cytogenetic assay
System of testing : Human lymphocytes

:

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation : no data Result : positive

Method

Year GLP

GLP : Test substance :

Remark : Abstract

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (57)

Type : Cytogenetic assay
System of testing : Human fibroblasts

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation

Result : positive

Method

Year GLP

Test substance

Remark : Abstract

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (57)

Type : Cytogenetic assay
System of testing : Human embryo cells

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: no dataResult: positive

Method

Year :

Test substance

Remark: Embryo cells obtained from amniotic fluid (abstract)

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (57)

Type : Cytogenetic assay
System of testing : Human lymphocytes

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr. :

Metabolic activation : no data **Result** : positive

Method Year

GLP :

Test substance

Remark : Abstract

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (108)

Type : Cytogenetic assay
System of testing : Human fibroblasts
Test concentration : 12-50 μg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr. : 100 metaphases/concentration

Metabolic activation: withoutResult: negative

Method

Year GLP

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (25)

Type : Cytogenetic assay

System of testing : Peripheral human lymphocytes

Test concentration : 80-220 µg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: no dataResult: positive

Method : Year :

GLP :

Test substance

Remark : Reduced mitosis rate, chromosome aberrations (up to approx. 60% of the

metaphases damaged, primarily chromatid breaks)

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (109)

Type : Mouse lymphoma assay

System of testing

Test concentration : 125-5000 ug/ml; concentration dependent

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: withoutResult: positive

Method

Year

GLP :

Test substance :

Remark : Significant increase in mutant numbers in 3 independent runs

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (85)

Type : other: Cell transformation

System of testing : Kidney cells of the Syrian hamster (BHK 21/c1 13, Human fibroblasts (WI-

38) Human liver cells (Chang)

Test concentration :

Cycotoxic concentr. :

Metabolic activation : no data

Result : negative

Method : Year :

GLP :

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (102)

Type : other: DNA-Alkaline-elution test

System of testing : Rat hepatocytes

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: no dataResult: positive

Method

Year GLP

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (132)

Type : other: DNA-cell binding (DCB) assay

System of testing : Escherichia coli Q 13

Test concentration

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: no dataResult: negative

Method

Year :

GLP :

Test substance

Remark : With lysosyme and liver extract

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (74)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay

System of testing : Human lymphocytes
Test concentration : Up to 27.5 µg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr. : 25 metaphases/concentration assessed

Metabolic activation: no dataResult: negative

Method

Year :

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (65)

Type : Unscheduled DNA synthesis
System of testing : Primary rat hepatocytes

Test concentration : 110 μg/ml (maximum non-cytoxic concentration)

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: no dataResult: negative

Method

Year :

Test substance :

5

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (100)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay
System of testing : V 79-cells of the Chinese hamster

Test concentration : 0.55-2.2 μg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr.

Metabolic activation: withoutResult: negative

Method : Year :

GLP Test substance

Remark : Abstract

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (136)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay
System of testing : Peripheral human lymphocytes

Test concentration : 20-100 μg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr. : 400 metaphases/concentration assessed

Metabolic activation: withoutResult: negative

Method
Year
GLP
Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (25)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay

System of testing : Ovarial cells of the Chinese hamster (CHO)

Test concentration : 50-1600 μg/ml

Cycotoxic concentr. : 50-75 metaphases/concentration assessed

Metabolic activation: withoutResult: negative

Method :

Year GLP

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (25)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay

System of testing : Ovarial cells of the Chinese hamster (CHO)

Test concentration : 400-1600 µg/l

Cycotoxic concentr.: 75-100 metaphases/concentration assessed

Metabolic activation : with and without

Result : negative

Method Year

Year :

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (25)

5.6 **GENETIC TOXICITY 'IN VIVO'**

Type Drosophila SLRL test **Species** Drosophila melanogaster

Sex male

Strain other: Canton-s wild type Route of admin. other:feed exposure or injection

Exposure period 72 hours

Doses 11,000 ppm for feeding 11,940 ppm by injection

Result ambiguous

Method other:Zimmering et al (1985)

Year 1991 GLP no data

Test substance

Result The test substance (11,000 ppm) was negative for induction of sex-linked

> recessive lethal mutations in germ cells of the male flies when administered to adult flies by feeding. Administration of the test substance (11,940) by

injection yielded an increase in mutations which was equivocal.

Reliability (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17)(92)

Type Drosophila SLRL test **Species** Drosophila melanogaster

Sex male/female

Strain

Route of admin. oral feed

Exposure period

Doses 5506 µg/ml Result negative

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (41)

Type Inhibition of DNA-Synthesis :

Species

Sex

Strain

Route of admin. oral unspecified

Exposure period

Doses 100 mg/kg bw Result negative

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (110)

Type Micronucleus assay

Species mouse Sex male/female

Strain

Route of admin. i.p. **Exposure period** 2 days

Doses 55, 110, 220 mg/kg bw

Result negative

Method Year

GLP

Test substance

2 animals/sex/dose; time of preparation: 30h; 1000 polychromatic Remark

erythrocytes per animal assessed.

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (41)

Type Micronucleus assay

Species mouse Sex male/female

Strain

Route of admin. i.p.

Exposure period

Doses 75 mg/kg bw Result negative

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Remark 5 animals/dose or control group/time of preparation 24, 48, 72 or 96h; 1000

polychromatic erythrocytes per animal assessed.

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (94)

Type Micronucleus assay

Species mouse Sex male

Strain

Route of admin. i.p.

Exposure period

Doses 37.5-300 mg/kg bw

Result negative

Method

Test substance

Year GLP

Remark 4 animals/dose; time of preparation 24 or 48h; 1000 polychromatic

erythrocytes per animal assessed.

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (25)

Type Micronucleus assay

Species mouse Sex male Strain

Route of admin.

i.p.

Exposure period

Doses 55-220 mg/kg bw

negative Result

Method

Year **GLP**

Test substance

Reliability (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (137)

Type : Micronucleus assay

Species : rat

Sex : male/female

Strain

Route of admin. : oral unspecified

Exposure period : 2 days

Doses : 250 mg/kg bw Result : negative

Method :

Year

GLP Test substance

Remark: 5 animals/sex/dose; time of preparation: 30h; 2000 polychomatic

erythrocytes per animal assessed.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (59)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay

Species : rat

Sex: male/femaleStrain: Sprague-Dawley

Route of admin. : dermal Exposure period : 20 minutes

Doses : 0.2, 2, 20, 100, 200, 300 mg/kg bw

Result : negative

Method : Year :

Year : GLP :

Test substance

Remark : 2-3 animals/dose; time of preparation: 24h; 20-54 metaphases analyzed

per animal.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (10)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay

Species : rat

Sex : male/female

Strain

Route of admin. : i.p.

Exposure period :

Doses : 1-100 mg/kg bw Result : negative

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Remark: 1-3 animals/dose; time of preparation: 24 h; 13-36 metaphases analyzed

per animal.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (10)

Type : Sister chromatid exchange assay

Species : rat

Sex: male/femaleStrain: Sprague-DawleyRoute of admin.: oral unspecified

Exposure period

Doses : 0.8, 4, 20, 100 mg/kg bw

Result : negative

Method : Year :

Year :

Test substance

Remark : 3 animals/dose; time of preparation: 24 h; 12-54 metaphases analyzed per

animal.

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (10)

Type : other: Sperm Abnormality test

Species : mouse Sex : male

Strain

Route of admin. : i.p.

Exposure period

Doses : 55-220 mg/kg bw

:

:

Result : negative

Method

Year

GLP

Test substance

Reliability : (4) not assignable

26.05.2004 (137)

5.7 CARCINOGENICITY

Species: ratSex: maleStrain: Fischer 344Route of admin.: gavageExposure period: 104 weeks

Frequency of treatm. : Daily: 5 days a week

Post exposure period

Doses : 0, 112, 225 mg/kg bw

Result : negative Control group : yes

Method : other:NTP Board EPA/FDA guidelines

Year : 1991 **GLP** : yes

Test substance : as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Remark: 60 animals/sex/dose and control group; purity: >99%; substance

preparation in water; interim autopsy after 15 months of the experiment (10 $\,$

animals/dose and control group).

Result : Tumor type and incidence were in the same range as those of the control.

Body weight development of the animals in the high dose group was retarted by 10-15% from week 87 onwards. The mortality in the high dose group was significantly higher than in the control group (no further information). The clinical symptoms included the following: ataxia,

abdominal or lateral position and tremours.

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17)

Species: ratSex: femaleStrain: Fischer 344Route of admin.: gavage

Exposure period: 104 weeks

Frequency of treatm. : Daily: 5 days a week

Post exposure period

Doses : 0, 50, 100, 150 mg/kg bw

Result : negative Control group : yes

Method : other: NTP Board EPA/FDA guidelines

Year : 1991 **GLP** : yes

Test substance : as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Remark : 60 animals/sex/dose and control group; purity >99%; substance preparation

in water; interim autopsy after 15 months of the experiment (10

animals/dose and control group).

Result: Tumour type and incidence were in the same range as those of the control.

Body weight development of the animals in the high dose group was retarded by 11-14% from week 95 onwards. The mortality in the high dose group was significantly higher than in the control group (no further information). The clinical symptoms included the following: ataxia, abdominal or lateral position and tremours (no further information).

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17)

Species: mouseSex: male/femaleStrain: B6C3F1Route of admin.: gavageExposure period: 104 weeks

Frequency of treatm. : Daily:5 times/week

Post exposure period

Doses : 0, 112, 225 mg/kg bw

Result : negative Control group : yes

Method : other: NTP board EPA/FDA guidelines

Year : 1991 **GLP** : yes

Test substance: as prescribed by 1.1 - 1.4

Remark : 60 animals/sex/dose and control group; purity >99%; substance preparation

in water; interim autopsy after 15 months of the experiment (10

animals/dose and control group).

Result: Tumour type and incidence were in the same range as those of the control.

Body weight development of the femaleanimals in the high dose group was retarded by 11-14% from week 85 onwards. The clinical symptoms included the following: ataxia, abdominal or lateral position and tremours

(no further information).

Reliability : (1) valid without restriction

28.05.2004 (17)

Species : mouse
Sex : female
Strain : other: Sutter
Route of admin. : dermal
Exposure period : 12 weeks
Frequency of treatm. : 2 times a week

Post exposure period

Doses : 0.025 ml of a 20% solution in acetone

Result

Control group : yes

Method : other: no data

Year

GLP : no data

Test substance : no data

Remark : Dose group: 27 animals; control: 12 animals; 2-stage study of

carcinogenesis: initiator: 75 μg DMBS dermal, then resorcinol application.

Result: A higher carcinoma incidence relative to the control was not observed,

however, the indidence of papilloma was higher (17% of animals; control:

0%).

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (9)

Species : mouse **Sex** : female

Strain : other: ICR/HA Swiss

Route of admin. : dermal Exposure period : 368 days Frequency of treatm. : 3 times/week

Post exposure period

Doses : 10 mg (in acetone); +/- 5 μg benzapyrene/application

Result : negative Control group : yes

Method : other: no data

Year

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark : 50 animals/dose and control group; test of carcinogenicity of resorcinol.

Result : A higher tumour incidence relative to the control group was not observed at

the application site.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (130)

Species : mouse **Sex** : female

Strain : other: ICR/HA Swiss

Route of admin. : dermal Exposure period : 449 days Frequency of treatm. : 3 times/week

Post exposure period

Doses : 10 mg/application (in acetone)

Result : negative Control group : yes

Method : other:no data

Year :

GLP : no data
Test substance : no data

Remark: 50 animals/dose and control group; 2-stage study of carcinogenesis:

initiator: 150 µg benzapyrene; 14 days later: start of resorcinol application.

Result : A higher tumour incidence relative to the control group was not observed at

the application site.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (130)

Species: rabbitSex: male/femaleStrain: New Zealand white

Route of admin. : dermal
Exposure period : 180 weeks
Frequency of treatm. : 2 times/week

Post exposure period

Doses : 0.02 ml of a 5, 10 or 50% solution in acetone

Result : negative

ld 108-46-3 5. Toxicity Date 15.06.2004

Control group yes

Method

Year **GLP**

Test substance

Remark 5 animals/dose; control: 9 animals

Result A higher tumour incidence relative to the control group was not observed.

Only those organs or tissue which showed macroscopic changes, plus the

application site were examined (inner ear).

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (120)

Species rat Sex male Fischer 344 Strain Route of admin. oral feed **Exposure period** 49 weeks

Frequency of treatm.

Post exposure period

Doses 0, 0.8% (approx. 400 mg/kg bw)

Result

Control group yes Method

Year

GLP

Test substance other TS: > 99% purity

Remark Control: 10 animals; dose: 15 animals; 2-stage study of carcinogenesis:

> initiator: 25 mg methyl-N-amyl-nitrosamine/kg body weight i.p. for 3 weeks (once a week); then resorcinol application; 11-12 animals/dose and control

group; purity >99%.

Result Retarded body weight gain and higher tumour incidence: tongue papilloma

> (p <0.05) and oesophagus carcinoma (p <0.01); no increased incidence in the case of the lungs, liver, kidneys, stomach, trachea or in the nasal

region.

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (139)

Species rat Sex male Strain Fischer 344 Route of admin. oral feed **Exposure period** 51 weeks

Frequency of treatm.

Post exposure period

Doses 0, 0.8% (approx. 400 mg/kg bw)

Result negative **Control group** yes

Method

Year GLP

Test substance

Remark Control: 10 animals; dose: 16 animals; 2-stage study of carcinogenesis:

initiator: 150 mg N -methyl-N"-nitrosoguanidine/kg body weight; then

resorcinol application.

Result Higher tumour incidence or hyperplasia rate in the forestomach or

glandular stomach relative to the control was not observed. No other

organs were examined.

Reliability (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (49)

Species : hamster
Sex : female
Strain : other: Syrian
Route of admin. : oral feed
Exposure period : 20 weeks
Frequency of treatm. : Daily (via feed)

Post exposure period

Doses : 1.5%

Result

Control group : yes

Method Year

GLP

Test substance : other TS: > 99% purity

Remark: 15 and 10 animals/substance and control group respectively; purity: >99%;

0.9% NaCl twice s.c. (two week interval), then, from week 4, 1.5% resorcinol in feed for 16 weeks; control: 0.9% NaCl twice s.c. (two week

interval); then basal diet.

Result : Body weight development significantly raised at end of study (p <0.05),

relative liver weight significantly reduced (p <0.001), relative pancreas weight in range of control. Pancreas, liver and gall bladder showed no signs of neoplastic changes. In the forestomach and glandular stomach, the incidence of epithelial hyperplasia was higher, but neoplastic changes

(papiloma, adenoma, carcinoma) did not occur.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (83)

Species : hamster
Sex : female
Strain : other: Syrian
Route of admin. : oral feed
Exposure period : 20 weeks
Frequency of treatm. : Daily (via feed)

Post exposure period

Doses : 1.5%

Result

Control group : yes

Method

Year

GLP

etnou .

Test substance: other TS:purity>99.5%

Remark : 2-stage study of carcinogenesis: initiator: 70 mg N-nitrobis(2-

oxopropyl)amine/kg body weight twice s.c. (two week interval), then, from week 4, 1.5% resorcinol in the feed for 16 weeks. Control: initiation with N-

nitrobis(2-oxopropyl)amine, then basal diet; 20

animals/substance and control group respectively; purity: >99.5%.

Result : Body weight development and relative liver and pancreas weights in range

of the control; lower, non-significant (p <0.05) incidence of both pancreas adenomos and hyperplasia of the Ductus pancreaticus (63%) relative to control (94%); incidence of neoplastic changes in liver and gall bladder (tubercles, carcinomas, adenomas) in the range of the control. In the forestomach and glandular stomach, the incidence of epithelial hyperplasia was higher, but neoplastic changes (papiloma, adenoma, carcinoma) did

not occur.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (83)

5.8.1 TOXICITY TO FERTILITY

Type : Two generation study

Species Sex

Strain

Route of admin. :
Exposure period :
Frequency of treatm. :
Premating exposure period
Male :
Female :

Duration of test
No. of generation
studies

studies
Doses
Control group

Remark : The study is currently being conducted, according to US EPA OPPTS and

OECD Guidelines. Anticipated date of report, January 2005

26.05.2004

5.8.2 DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY/TERATOGENICITY

Species : rat
Sex : female

Strain

Route of admin. : dermal Exposure period : 19 days

Frequency of treatm. : Days 1,4,7,10,13,16 and 19 of gestation

Duration of test

Doses : 2 ml/kg Control group : yes

Result : No embryotoxic or teratogenic effects.

Method

 Year
 : 1976

 GLP
 : no data

Test substance :

Result : No biologically significant soft tissue or skeletal changes were noted.

Similarly, the mean numbers of corpora lutea, implantation sites, live fetuses and resorptions per pregnancy, as well as the number of litters with

resorptions were not significantly affected by the treatment.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (15)

Species : rat Sex : female

Strain

Route of admin. : oral unspecified Exposure period : 6-15 day of gestation

Frequency of treatm. : daily

Duration of test

Doses : 40, 80, 250 mg/kg bw

Control group

Result : No embryotoxic or teratogenic effects

Remark : 23 dams/dose; no detailed information of the maximum tolerable range.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (46)

Species : rat Sex : female

Strain: Sprague-DawleyRoute of admin.: oral unspecifiedExposure period: 6-15 days of gestation

Frequency of treatm. : daily

Duration of test

Doses : 125, 250, 500 mg/kg bw

Control group : yes

Result : No embryotoxic, foetotoxic or teratogenic effects

Method

Year : 1985

GLP

Test substance

Remark: 13 dams/dose; high dose lay in the maximum tolerable range.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (28)

Species : rabbit Sex : female

Strain

Route of admin. : oral unspecified Exposure period : 6-18 day of gestation

Frequency of treatm. : daily

Duration of test

Doses : 25, 50, 100 mg/kg bw

Control group : yes

Result: No embryototoxic, foetotoxic or teratogenic effects

Remark: 18-26 dams/dose; no detailed information of the maximum tolerable range.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (46)

Species : rabbit Sex : female

Strain

Route of admin. : oral unspecified Exposure period : 6-15 day of gestation

Frequency of treatm. : daily

Duration of test

Doses : 0, 40, 80, 250 mg/kg bw

Control group : yes

Result : No evidence of embryotoxic or teratogenic effects

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

20.05.2004 (117)

Species : hen

Sex

Strain : other: White Leghorn chick eggs
Route of admin. : other: applied to inner shell membrane

Exposure period

Frequency of treatm. : only once

Duration of test

Doses : 99, 198, 396, 804, μg/chick egg

Control group : yes

Remark : 5 µl of resorcinol (in acetone)/chicken egg were applied to the inner shell

membrane of 3 day old chick embryos. 20-30 chick eggs/dose group; 10

chick eggs/controlgroup (vehicle).

Result : Dose at which 50% of embryo died and/or malformed: ED50=264.3 μg/egg;

dose at which 50% of the embryo died: LD50=297.3 µg/egg; external signs of malformation include: open coelom, wing and leg defects, oedema or

lymph vesicles.

Reliability : (2) valid with restrictions

26.05.2004 (71)

5.8.3 TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION, OTHER STUDIES

5.9 SPECIFIC INVESTIGATIONS

5.10 EXPOSURE EXPERIENCE

Type of experience : Human

Remark : In three probands, dermal adsorption of resorcinol through the use of hair

dyes was investigated. Hair dyes that contained radioactivite labelled resorcinol were distrubuted through the hair and over the scalp in accordance with instructions for use. After an exposure time of 25-28 min, the hair was rinsed, dried and cut off in order to prevent further adsorption. Excretion of the radioactivity via the urine was then monitored for 144 h max (collection initially after 4 h later 24 h): a total of 0.076% of the applied radioactivity was excreted via the urine. A half life time for elimination via the urine was calculated as being T1/2 = 31h. From data for elimination of the radioactivity via the urine after 24 h, an absorption rate of 2.2 x 120E-10 mol/cm2 x h (0.024 $\mu g/cm2$ x h) was calculated. When the test for

elimination was also 31 h and a total of 0.177% of the applied radioactivity was excreted via the urine within 7 days.

28.04.2004 (138)

5.11 ADDITIONAL REMARKS

Type : Immunotoxicity

Remark : Cell incubated with 1.1-11.0 μg resorcinol/ml for 2 days at 37°C

Result : Formulation of specific antibodies (IgM) in human-human hybrid HB4C5

cells was inhibite as a function of concentration. the relative growth rate

(cell proliferation) diminished as a function of concentration.

09.12.2003 (79)

Type : other: Nitrosation in vitro

Remark: Nitrosation of 10 mM proline in vitro (pH 2-5; 37°C, test duration: 15

minutes) was catalyzed as a function of pH by 1 mM resorcinol (approx. 110

μg/ml)

Result: The optimum pH value for N-nitrosation was 2.5. The maximum catalytic

effect exerted by resorcinol was, however, produced at a pH of 4, at which there was a 26 fold increase in the N-nitroproline formation compared to

the control.

09.12.2003 (99)

Type : other: Nitrosation in vitro

Result : In BD VI rats, oral application by gavage of 1 and 5 μmol resorcinol/animal

(110 and 551 μ g/animal respectively) led to increased formation of N-nitrosoproline relative to control (administration of proline only). Excretion of N-nitrosoproline via the urine within 24h of application increased as a function of concentration approx. 7 fold and 9 fold respectively.

12.11.2003 (99)

Type : other: kinetics

Remark : In the rat, resorcinol absorbed via the skin or the G.I tract. 90% of orally

administered doses are excreted again within 24 h via the urine: 3% via the faeces, and 0.1% exhaled as CO2. 50% of the dose excreted via the bile enters the enterohepatic circulation. More than 70% of the resorcinol excreted via the urine is present as the glucuronide or sulphate conjugate, with less tha 5% present as free resorcinol. Repeated administration over 30d did not lead to storage or accumulation in tissues. The half-life time for elimination from plasma following subcutaneous application lay beteen 8.6 and 10.5 h. In humans, the adsorption rate via the skin was 0.37 μg/cm2/h. Also in humans the resorcinol excreted via the urine following dermal application (12 mg/kg body weight/day for 4 weeks (see section 5) is in the form of the glucuronide or the sulphate conjugate. No resorcinol could be

detected in the blood.

20.05.2004 (12) (26) (40) (70) (88) (104) (140) (141)

6. Analyt. Meth. for Detection and Identification

- 6.1 ANALYTICAL METHODS
- 6.2 DETECTION AND IDENTIFICATION

7. Eff. Against Target Org. and Intended Uses

7.1	FUNCTION
7.2	EFFECTS ON ORGANISMS TO BE CONTROLLED
7.3	ORGANISMS TO BE PROTECTED
1.3	ORGANISIVIS TO BE PROTECTED
7.4	USER
7.5	RESISTANCE

8. Meas. Nec. to Prot. Man, Animals, Environment

8.1	METHODS HANDLING AND STORING
8.2	FIRE GUIDANCE
8.3	EMERGENCY MEASURES
8.4	POSSIB. OF RENDERING SUBST. HARMLESS
8.5	WASTE MANAGEMENT
8.6	SIDE-EFFECTS DETECTION
O.O	
8.7	SUBSTANCE REGISTERED AS DANGEROUS FOR GROUND WATER
0.7	SUBSTANCE REGISTERED AS DANGEROUS FOR GROUND WATER
88	REACTIVITY TOWARDS CONTAINER MATERIAL

9. References

(1)	Chem.Reg.Rep., 15 Mar. (1991); NTP study on resorcinol
(2)	ACGIH TLVs for Chemical substance and Physical Agents and Biological Exposure Indices for 1995-1996
(3)	Acute Toxicity of 12 Industrial Chemicals to Fresh Water and Salt Water Organisms, Water Res. Vol 13, p137-141 (1979)
(4)	Alexander M, Lustigman BK; J Agric Food Chem 14:410-3 (1966)
(5)	Beezer et al. (1980): J. Pharm Pharmacol 32, 815-819
(6)	Berthezene et al. (1979): Ann. Endocrinal. (Paris) 40, 67-68
(7)	Blum et al. (1986): J. Water Pollut. Control Fed 58, 122-131
(8)	Boominathan, Mahadevan (1989): Zentralbl. Mikrobiol. 144, 37-45
(9)	Boutwell, Bosch (1959): Cancer Res. 19, 413-427.
(10)	Bracher et al. (1981): Mutat. Res. 91, 363-369
(11)	Bracher et al. (1987): Mol. Technol. 1, 561-570
(12)	Bray et al. (1952): Biochem. J. 52, 419-423
(13)	Bringmann, Kuehn (1959) Gesundheits-Ingenieur 80, 115-120
(14)	Bringmann, Kuehn (1960): Gessundheits-Ingenier 81, 337-339
(15)	Burnett at al. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, 1:1027-1040, 1976
(16)	Chapman, Ribbons (1976): J. Bacteriol. 125, 985-998
(17)	Chem.Reg.Rep., 15 Mar. (1991); NTP study on resorcinol
(18)	Chou, Patrick (1976): J. Chem. Ecol. 2, 369-387
(19)	Chou, Speece, Siddiqi (1979): Biotechnol. Bioeng Symp. 8, 391-414
(20)	Commins, Lindsey (1956): Anal Chim Acta 15,557-558
(21)	Cooper, Wheatstone (1973): Water Res Pergamon Press 7, 1375-1384
(22)	Crebelli et al. (1981): IARC Sci. Publi., Hum Exposure Carcinog. Mutage. Agents 59, 289-295; Crebelli et al. (1985): Br. J. Ind. Med. 42, 481-487
(23)	Crebilli et al. (1981): Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 19,79-84
(24)	Curtis et al. (1978): Proc. Natl. Conf. Contr. Haz. Mater. Spills, 99-103
(25)	Darroundi, Natarajan (1983): Mutat. Res. 124, 179-189
(26)	Deichmann, Thomas (1943): J. Ind. Hyg. Toxicol. 25, 286-292
(27)	Devillers et al. (1987): Chemosphere 16, 1149-1163

(28)	Dinardo et al. (1985): Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 78, 163-166.
(29)	Dowd, Shen (1990): Entomol. Exp. Appl. 56, 241-248
(30)	Dube, Shukla, Tribathi (1988): Pesticides 22(3), 11-12
(31)	Eisenreich SJ et al.; Environ Sci Technol 15: 30-8 (1981) Atkinson R et al.; Environ Sci Technol 21: 64-72 (1987) Hoechst AG (1989) Einstufungsbegruendung TA Luft der Abt. UVC (16.11.1989) Perbet et al. (1979): J. Chim Phys. Chim Biol 76 89-96
(32)	Ewell et al. (1986): Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 5, 831-840
(33)	Final Report on 90 day Inhalation-Thyroid Exposure to Resorcinol; Industrial Health Foundation Inc. Feb 1977 American Industrial Hygiene Assoc. 37, Oct. 1976 (conducted for Koppers Company)
(34)	Fischer et al. (1976): Phytochemistry 15, 1078-1079
(35)	Fish Bioassay for resorcinol, report written by Koppers
(36)	Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association (FEMA 1979): Scientific Literature Review of Phenols in Flavor Usage. Vol.1; zitiet aus: Liebert (1986): J. Am. Coll. Toxicol. 5, 167-203
(37)	Flickinger (1976): Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 37, 596-606
(38)	Florin et al. (1980) Toxicology 18, 219-232; Rapson et al. (1980): Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 24, 590-596
(39)	Gaal, Neujahr (1976): J.Bacteriol. 137, 13-21
(40)	Garton, Williams (1949): Biochem. J. 44, 234-238
(41)	Gocke et al. (1981): Mutat Res. 90, 91-109
(42)	Gubser (1969): Gas-Wasser-Abrwasser 49, 175-181
(43)	Hartenstein (1982): Soil Biol. Biochem. 14, 595-599
(44)	Hashizume et al. (1967): Agr Biol Chem 31; 324-329
(45)	Hawley GC; The Condensed Chemical Dictionary, 10th ED., New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Co. 1981 Sax, Lewis (1989): Dangerous Properties if Industrial Materials (7th Ed.) Vol.3, 2966
(46)	Hazelton (1982): Submission of data by CTFA. CodeNo. 2-23b-22; zitiert in: Liebert (1986): J. Am. Coll. Toxicol. 5, 167-203. Spengler et al. (1986): Teratology 33, 31A.
(47)	Herbes, Beauchamp (1977): Bull Environ. Contam. Toxicol 17, 25-32
(48)	Himejima, Kubo (1991): J. Agric. Food Chem. 39, 418-421
(49)	Hirose et al. (1989): Cancer Res. 49, 6015-6018.
(50)	Hoechst AG (1977): Unveröffentlichte Untersuchung (77.0374)
(51)	Hoechst AG (1979):Acute Oral Toxicity of Nako-Brown 3G in female rats(Report number 171/79)

(52)	Hoechst AG (1979):Skin and Mucosa tolerance of Nako-Brown 3G in rabbits(Report number 172/79)
(53)	Hoechst AG (1981): Acute Toxicity of Resorcin DS in Golden Ide (Leuciscus idus f. melanotus) (Report Number 200/81)
(54)	Hoechst AG (1989):Study of Sensitizing Properties in Pirbright Wite Guinea Pigs on the Maximization Test (89.0483)
(55)	Hoechst AG (1992): Mitteilung der Abt. UWS-Oekologie (28.08.1992); nachtraeglich erstellte Untersuchungsberichte ueber unveröffentlichte Untersuchungen aus den Jahren 1975, 1980, and 1982
(56)	Hoechst AG (1992): Sicherheitsdatenblatt Resorcin DS (13.10.1992)
(57)	Hoels -Herpertz (1987): Mutat. Res. 182, 283-284
(58)	Horowitz et al. (1982): Dev Ind. Microbiol. 23, 435-444
(59)	Hossack, Richardson (1977): Experientia 33, 377-378
(60)	Ind. Bio-Test Lab. Inc. (1970): BIO-FAX 11-4/70; zitiert in: Lloyd et al. (1977): Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 15, 607-610
(61)	INDSPEC Chemical Corporation: Fugacity calculations for the theoretical distrubution of resorcinol in a simple four-compartment environment
(62)	Indspec Chemical Corporation: Fugacity calculations for the theoretical distrubution of resorcinol in a simple four-compartment environment
(63)	Ingle et al (1985): J. Environ. Biol. 6, 45-55
(64)	Ismail, Salama, Ouf, Ouf (1988): Egypt. J. Microbiol. 23(1), 29-41
(65)	Jansson et al. (1986): Mutat. Res. 169, 129-139
(66)	Jolley, Pitt, Jr., Scott, Jones, Jr., Thompson (1975): Environ. Health Perspectives 9, 247-252
(67)	Kanabur and Banu Sangli (2000) Geobios 27 188-190
(68)	Kanwar, Nanda (1986): Biologia Plantarum (praha) 28(3), 202-206
(69)	Khan, Ungar (1986): Bot. Gaz. 147 (2), 248-151
(70)	Kim, Matthews (1987): Fund. Appl. Toxicol. 9, 409-414
(71)	Korhonen et al. (1983): Acta Pharmacol. 52, 95-99.
(72)	Kramer, Truemper (1986): Biochem Physiol. Pflanzen 181, 81 - 89
(73)	Kreft-Weyergans (1987): Mutat. Res. 182, 284-285
(74)	Kubiski et al. (1981): Mutat. Res. 89, 95-136
(75)	Lautz Z Physikae, Chem 84,611 (1913) Weast RC, Handbook of Chemistry & Physics, 67th Ed. Boca Raton, FI: CRC Press Inc 1986
(76)	Leszezynska, Kowal (1980): Environ. Prot. Engineering 6, 465-480

(77)	Lima (2004): Resorcinol - Full life Cycle Toxicity Test with Water Fleas, Daphnia magna Under Flow-through conditions. Lab ID Number: Springborn Smithers Study No. 13048.6404
(78)	Lyman W.J. et al., Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation methods N. Y., McGraw-Hill (1982) Boyd SA; Soil Science 134:337-43 (1982) Hansch C, Leo AJ; Medchem Project Issue No. 26 Clarmont CA: Pomona College (1985)
(79)	Maeda et al. (1990): Agric Biol. Chem. 54, 1093-1096
(80)	Mangat, Dutt, Malik (1988): Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Plant Sci) 98(1), 61-66
(81)	Marquardt et al. (1947): Z. Gesamte Inn. Med. 2, 333-346
(82)	Martimer, J. Am Chem Soc, 45, 635 (1923) Walker, Collet, and Lazell, J. Phys. Chem 35, 3259 (1931) McKee et al., Water Quality Criteria; The Resources Agency of California, State Water Quality Control Noard (1963) Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals (2nd Ed.), 1043-1044, 1229-1231, 1234-1239, 1304-1305 (1983) Windholz; The Merck index (10th Ed), 1176 (1983)
(83)	Maryama et al. (1991): Carcinogenesis 12, 1331-1334
(84)	McCann et al. (1975): Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 72, 5135-5139
(85)	McGregor et al. (1988): Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 11, 91-118 NTP (1991): NTP-TR-403, NIH Publication No. 91-2858
(86)	McKee et al.(1963), Water Quality Criteria; The Resources Agency of California, State Water Quality Control Board Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals (2nd Ed.), 1043-1044, 1229-1231, 1234-1239, 1304-1305 (1983) Windholz; The Merck Index (10th Ed), 1176 (1983)
(87)	Merck Index (10th Ed.); Moussavi, Water Res 13, 1125-1128 (1979); Schultz, Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 14, 178-183 (1987)
(88)	Merker et al. (1982): Res. Commun. Chem. Pathol. Pharmacol. 38, 367-388
(89)	Mill, Mabey (1985): In Environmental Exposure from Chemicals Vol. 1, 175-216
(90)	MITI (1992): Biodegradation and Bioaccumulation Data of Existing Chemicals Based on the Chemical Substance Control Law (CSCL), Japan Chemicals Inspection and Testing Institute (CITI) (ed); Japan Chemical Industry Ecology - Toxicology and Information Center 14-19, 3-52
(91)	National Fire Protection Association; Fire Protection Guide on Hazardous Materials, 9th Ed., Boston Ma 1986
(92)	NTP (1991): NTP-TR-403, NIH Publication No. 91-2858
(93)	O'Neil, M.J. (ed.), 2001. The Merck Index - An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and Biologicals, 13th ed., Merck & Co., Inc., Whitehouse Station, NJ
(94)	Paschin et al. (1986): Food Chem. Toxicol. 24, 881-883
(95)	Perbet at al. (1979): J.Chim Phys.PhysChim Biol.76, 89-96

9. References

(96)	Pitter P; Water Res 10: 453-60 (1976)
(97)	Pitter, determination of biological Degradability of Organic Substances, Water Res. 10, 231-235, 1976
(98)	Podlesnaya (1966): Bull. Exp.Biol Med. 61,291-294
(99)	Prignatelli et al. (1982): IARC Sci. Publ. 41, 413-423.
(100)	Probst et al. (1981): Environ. Mutagen. 3, 11-31
(101)	Process Safety Test Results and Interpretation fo Resorcinol, Report No. R/1531/1197/GM, Chilworth Technology, Inc. (1997) (Determined for INDSPEC Chemical Corporation)
(102)	Purchase et al. (1978): Br. J. Cancer 37, 873-903
(103)	Range Finding Toxicity Tests on Flaked Grade Resorcinol; Industrial Hygiene Foundation of America Inc., Oct-Dec 1962 (conducted by Koppers Company)
(104)	Roberts et al. (1977): J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 29, 677-683
(105)	Rosin (1984): Mutat. Res. 153, 109-113
(106)	Sakano et al. (1985): Mutat. Res. 147, 272-273
(107)	Schnell et al. (1989): Arch. Microbiol. 152, 556-563
(108)	Schulz, Schwanitz (1981): Teratology 24, 48A
(109)	Schwanitz (1979): Peroenliche Mitteilung: zitiert in: Luepke, Preusser (1979): Aerztl. Kosmetol. 9, 341-346
(110)	Seiler (1977): Mutat. Res. 46, 305-310
(111)	Shahin et al. (1980): Mutut. Res. 78, 213-218
(112)	Shibata et al. (1990): Carcinogenesis 11, 425-429
(113)	Shimizu et al. (1970): Agr. Biol. Chem. 34, 845-853
(114)	Sing, Malik (1988): Proc. Indian Natn. Sci. Acad. B54(6), 413-416.
(115)	Singer et al. (1978): EPA-600/7-78-181; zitiert in: Blum et al. (1986) GWPCF 58, 122-131
(116)	Soni, Bhatia (1980); Indian J. Agric. Sci. 50, 772-777
(117)	Spengler et al. (1985); zitiert in NTP-TR-403 (1991).
(118)	Stauber, Florence (1987): Mar. Biol. 94, 511-519
(119)	Stecher (ed.) The Merck Index, 8th ed., 913 (1968); zitiertin: IARC monographs 15, 155-175 (1977)
(120)	Stenbaeck (1977): Acta Pharmcol. Toxicol. 41, 417-431.
(121)	Stich et al. (1981): Cancer Lett. 14, 251-260
(122)	Stom (1977): Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol. 5, 291-298
(123)	Stom, Roth (1981): Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 27, 332-337

(124)	Tabak et al. (1964): J. Bacteriol. 87, 910-919
(125)	The Resorcinol Handbook published by INDSPEC Chemical Corporation
(126)	Thermophysical properties of resorcinol. A report to INDSPEC Chemical Corp. by R.E Taylor and H. Groot
(127)	Tripathi et al. (1984): Trop. Pest Manage. 30, 440-443
(128)	United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics and Syracuse Research Corporation, 2000. EPI Suite v 3.01 (April 2001)
(129)	United States Environmental Protection agency, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics and Syracuse Research Corporation, 2000. EPI Suite v 3.01 (April 2001)
(130)	Van Duuren, Goldschmidt (1976): J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 56, 1237-1242.
(131)	Van Leeuven et al. (1990): Ecotox. Environ. Saf. 20, 42-52
(132)	Walles (1992): Cancer Lett. 63, 47-52
(133)	Walter, Weidemann (1968): Z. Ernaehrungswiss. 9, 123-147
(134)	Wantanabe et al. (1991):Eisei Kaguku 37, 512-521
(135)	Wellens (1990): Z Wasser Abwasser Forsch 23, 5-98
(136)	Wild et al. (1981): Mutat. Res. 85, 456
(137)	Wild et al. (1981): Mutat. Res. 85,456
(138)	Wolfram (1985): Dermatol. 6, 409-422
(139)	Yamaguchi et al. (1989): Cancer Res. 49, 6015-6018.
(140)	Yeung et al. (1981): J. Chromatogr. 224, 513-518
(141)	Yeung et al. (1983): Percutaneous absorption, blood levels and urinary excretion of resorcinol applied topically in humans. Int. J. Dermatol. 22, 321-324.

10. Summary and Evaluation

ld 108-46-3 **Date** 15.06.2004

10.1 END POINT SUN	IMARY
--------------------	--------------

10.2 HAZARD SUMMARY

10.3 RISK ASSESSMENT